SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON THE FUTURE OF JEA

Council Members

John R. Crescimbeni, Chair
Danny Becton
Anna Lopez Brosche
Garrett Dennis
Joyce Morgan
Greg Anderson
Aaron L. Bowman
Katrina Brown
Reginald L. Brown

Doyle Carter
Al Ferraro
Reggie Gaffney
Bill Gulliford
Tommy Hazouri
Jim Love
Samuel Newby
Matt Schellenberg
Scott Wilson

Staff

Legislative Assistant: Staci Lopez
Legislative Assistant: Mia Richardson
Research Assistant: Jeff Clements
Council Auditors Office: Kyle Billy

Council Auditors Office: Phillip Peterson Office of General Counsel: Peggy Sidman

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

DATE TAKEN: Thursday, May 24, 2018 TIME: 2:03 p.m. - 4:59 p.m.

PLACE: City Hall

Council Chambers

117 West Duval Street Jacksonville, FL 22202

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following Proceedings were reported by:

Amanda E. Robinson
Registered Professional Reporter
First Coast Court Reporters
2442 Atlantic Boulevard
Jacksonville, FL 32207

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: All right. Good
3	afternoon, everyone. We are going to call
4	the Special Committee on the Future of JEA
5	Meeting to order. Today is Thursday,
6	May 24th, 2018. It's about four minutes
7	after 2:00 p.m. in the afternoon.
8	We'll start by having everyone introduce
9	themselves for the record. And I'll start
10	with the Vice President on my left.
11	MR. BOWMAN: Hi. Aaron Bowman,
12	District 3.
13	COUNCILMAN LOVE: Jim Love, District 14
14	COUNCILWOMAN MORGAN: Joyce Morgan,
15	District 1.
16	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Danny Becton,
17	District 11.
18	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I'm John
19	Crescimbeni, At-Large Group 2.
20	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Garrett Dennis,
21	District 9.
22	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Anna Lopez-Brosche,
23	Group 1.
24	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: Lori Boyer,
25	District 5.

1	COUNCIL MEMBER WILSON: Scott Wilson,
2	District 4.
3	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Tommy Hazouri,
4	Group
5	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Hazouri, your
6	mic cut out. You want to repeat that?
7	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: I wanted to do it
8	twice. Tommy Hazouri, Group 3 At-Large.
9	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, sir.
10	I do have a couple of excused absences.
11	Councilman Ferraro is excused, as is
12	Councilman Schellenberg, Carter and Newby
13	will not be with us today.
14	All right. Let's see, we have a couple
15	of presentations today. And we've been
16	working hard to get this on the calendar,
17	but it's taken us a while to get to today.
18	But we have representatives here from the
19	Public Service Commission, then later this
20	afternoon we'll have some representatives
21	from the Public Utility Research Center at
22	University of Florida.
23	So we'll go ahead and begin with the
24	PSC. You've been distributed a copy of the
25	PowerPoint that's going to be given. And on

1	the agenda are some of the items I asked
2	them to cover in their presentation today.
3	We have with us Mr. Baez, he's the
4	Executive Director of the Public Service
5	Commission. We have the General Counsel for
6	the Public Service Commission,
7	Mr. Hetrick Mr. Hetrick, welcome and
8	the gentleman we've been corresponding with,
9	the Deputy Executive Director, Mr. Futrell.
10	Mr. Futrell, I want to thank you upfront
11	for all your back-and-forth and working with
12	us to get to where we are today to have you
13	all here. And we appreciate you making the
14	trip to Tallahassee. I hope it's more fun
15	coming this way than I have going that way.
16	But I'll let you opine on that later.
17	So with no further delays, Mr. Baez, if
18	you will go ahead and begin your
19	presentation.
20	MR. BAEZ: Thank you. Mr. Chair,
21	Members, thank you for having us. On behalf
22	of our Commission and Commissioners, we're
23	very pleased to be here.
24	I'm going to try to keep it short. You
25	have seen the slide deck. It's rather

weighty and has a lot of things. And the reason for that is it sort of can serve as a leave-behind and certainly a resource for questions, which I hope we'll be able to get more time on than the presentation.

2.2

So moving right along, go over some overview first. All right. We've got a brief statement on mission, three words to remember: Safe, reliable and fair prices.

And it's the fair prices that we're -- where most of our work certainly in the public eye takes place, and most of the attention.

This is the concept that merits the most attention and where it's complicated and all of our processes are focused on the ratemaking processes, in particular, are focussed and used to arrive at the results.

Here is a brief breakdown of how the Commission is constituted and positions filled. You can see that the legislature appoints the member of the nominating council and the nominating council oversees a public application process, including incumbent members as well, and they produce a list of nominees to the commissioner and,

1	based on some time constraints, the
2	commissioner the governor has to nominate
3	or make an appointment which is subject to
4	confirmation by the senate.
5	We have staggered four-year terms for
6	all of them. And the Chairman serves for
7	two years by vote of the entire Commission.
8	That's a brief schematic of the structure.
9	Moving along. Sorry, I am tech stupid,
10	my apologies.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Are you trying to
12	go back?
13	MR. BAEZ: No, I got it. Thank you.
14	We're a legislative agency with primary
15	authority over the investor-owned utilities,
16	that would be the publicly traded utilities,
17	such as Duke, Florida Power & Light, Gulf
18	Power, or Southern Company. We have
19	jurisdiction over electric, natural gas and
20	wastewater. With specific for wastewater,
21	for example, we regulate only those
22	companies and counties that have seated
23	authority and jurisdiction to the
24	Commission. The statute does provide for an
25	opt-out for private utilities by a county.

1	And lastly, you can see some residual
2	regulation over telecommunication services,
3	mainly lifelines services and relay
4	services.

2.2

There is your breakdown on numbers, 5

IOUs, 8 gas utilities and 131 water

companies.

Now, the second section there bears some explanation, which I'm sure we'll get to a little later, but we can take it up now.

You can see that you have rural electric cooperatives and municipal electric utilities, such as JEA, and municipal natural gas districts and special gas districts.

Our jurisdiction and regulation over those types of entities generally fall into the reliability and safety sector by state law. As I'm sure you all know by now, we run a very -- an interconnected electric grid, for example, and so all utilities, no matter what their governance may be or their structure may be, do have a part and a role to play in the reliability and the function of the entire electric grid. So that's sort

1	of the basis of the narrow jurisdiction that
2	we have over municipals, in your case.
3	And again, you have the residual
4	regulation over telecommunication services,
5	which is basically market oversight.
6	Three program areas, broad program
7	areas, that our authority encompasses, the
8	first being rate-based and economic
9	regulation. Those are essentially rate
LO	cases where we set prices or rates for
L1	service, both for water utilities and
12	electric. But next, I've alluded to it
13	earlier, there's safety and reliability, for
L 4	example, the electric grid. But also,
15	service and consumer protection. So we also
16	do we also have responsibility for
L7	holding these utilities accountable to
18	certain service standards and to certain
L 9	consumer standards in terms of customer
20	service.
21	And lastly, again, competitive market
22	oversight more tailored to the
23	telecommunications industry.
24	First big concept is the regulatory

concept, the regulatory compact. This is --

25

conceptually, it's what creates the basis
for monopoly service in Florida. The
offering entity, whether it be the Public
Service Commission or a local government, as
such, there is a deal struck with utilities
where they take on certain rights and
obligations in order to serve exclusively
within a given territory. And that's the
regulatory compact is perhaps one notion
that we are charged with seeing function
properly. It's all about that.

2.2

So when a utility enters into the regulatory compact, they get certain rights and responsibilities. I mentioned before they get a natural monopoly. That means exclusive -- an exclusive territory over which they are the sole provider. That may or may not come with franchise -- franchises in a strict sense, in a legal sense, but those are not something that concern us in the legal sense.

In addition, their rights is to charge rates and recover for their prudent cost as a going concern. And they're also, lastly, entitled to a fair opportunity to earn a

rate of return on their investment. Along with those rights come the responsibilities.

And that is an obligation to serve, and that obligation is universal. In plain terms, anyone that asks for services is entitled to receive service from that provider, because, after all, they are the only provider. They cannot unduly discriminate.

2.2

2.4

And the word unduly there is a term that is subject to interpretation and a lot of discussion, but it does -- it does in a way recognize the existence of even slight cross subsidies between different classes of customers, although that's something that the Commission strives to eliminate in a perfect sense. In a real sense, everyone recognizes that we do the best we can and nothing is perfect, after all.

They do have the obligation to provide safe and reliable service. And for that the Commission engages in safety inspections and other safety functions to ensure that the companies that are subject to our jurisdiction are living up to their side of the deal.

1 Same with reliability. The reliability is more of a planning function, which you 3 all may or may not be familiar with. they -- these are long-lived assets. And usually we have a process that looks out at least 10 years in terms of the planning functions of the various utilities, electric utilities in this case.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

One of the things that we try to avoid is the construction or the investment in unnecessary facilities so you don't get a repetition of facilities, that sort of defeats the concept of the monopoly, so that we maintain only one set of facilities, or one owner of facilities has access to any given customer.

And lastly, they have to open their books to the Commission. So we do have a very robust auditing function that goes on throughout the year and in cycles as well. And at any given point in time, we do have access to the companies' books and records.

The public interest, second big concept. The public interest is probably the prime standard that we apply -- or that the

1	Commission, rather, applies in order to
2	achieve in order to arrive at its
3	decisions. That's a pretty nebulous
4	concept. And a lot of work and a lot of
5	analysis goes into based on our
6	processes, goes into arriving at what the
7	public interest is.
8	It is, as you see there and this is
9	the one that's always giving me trouble,
10	because they're, again, nebulous concepts.
11	But the public interest is essentially a
12	composite of many things, some of them being
13	economic efficiency, that is the
14	non-repetition of unnecessary facilities.
15	There is a lot of investment. It's very
16	capital so we try and have economic
17	efficiency to every action that's taken.
18	Sympathetic gradualism, that's a fancy
19	concept of, you know, rate increases, not
20	rate shock, right. So there is always a
21	consideration, even though in many cases
22	what you're dealing with is increasing cost
23	industries. And so a lot of the
24	consideration comes from sort of you sort

of back into what's reasonable, it's like

25

what can the -- how do we avoid the rate shock in many cases.

2.2

And lastly, and not least, I'm sure, is political accountability. So however you choose to define that, there are constituencies and there's the public that we all answer to as public servants and that's always present in our mind as well.

And now, it's not an equation, so there aren't discreet values that you provide, but it is, in fact, a balancing act, which we are going to hear a lot about in the next couple minutes.

First-grade graphic of the balancing act is the customer versus the utility. That one probably doesn't bear a whole lot of explanation -- doesn't need a whole lot of information. It's the giver and the taker and the disadvantage between the two.

So we seek to -- on the Commission we seek to balance that so that the customer, again, going back to concept of rate shock and rate increases, for example, is to try and take the customer in consideration. And the utility, by virtue of its rights, the

1 need to recover for their cost, for example, 2 or to have a chance to earn a fair rate of 3 return, for another example, those are things that there is a responsibility to 4 5 maintain a viable electric service provider. We cannot favor -- as a balance, you can't 6 7 favor one side over another and create that 8 imbalance, because, taken to its extreme, 9 you wind up with companies that aren't able 10 to sustain their operations and provide the 11 service that everyone needs, so there is the delicate balance there. 12 13 Secondly, you have a balancing act for 14 things like reliability versus cost. 15 I'm sure you all in your daily work run into 16 it as well. We can have 100 percent of 17 anything, but how much are we willing or all 18 of us willing as a society to pay for it? 19 And those are some of the balancing of 20 interests that goes on in the Commission.

21

2.2

23

24

25

I'll give you a good example, for
example, storm hardening, storm
preparedness. You hear a lot of debate
about, you know, whether we should
have outages at all, we should strengthen

the system to eliminate the possibility
because no one wants to be without power,
it's so important to our daily lives. But
then the question comes, sure, you can have
that perhaps, in theory, but how much are we
willing to pay for it. So those are the
balances, those are the discussions the
commissioners engage in.

2.2

And lastly, you have long-term versus short-term impact, so decisions, like making very large investments that don't address -- that may not address or provide short-term relief, but the investments may be necessary to provide long-term reliability and things of that nature. So you're always balancing, you know, what seems good now with what's going to be necessary later. And I know you all are familiar with that sort of analysis.

So on the subject of rates, we have three of the most basic mechanisms in order to engage in providing for and determining cost recovery for providing service. You have your annual cost recovery clauses; your base rate proceedings, so those are the rate cases, essentially; and lastly, you have

1 surcharges for storm restoration. So that's a more extraordinary mechanism. But it 3 happens living in Florida, we have it in place pretty much, you know -- it's been around for the last 15, 20 years almost, so that's just because of the reality. And I'll go into all of them with a little bit of detail.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So your annual cost recovery clauses seek to address volatile costs to the utility that -- essentially, they change from year to year. And it is much more cost effective, much more efficient, whether from the standpoint of avoiding rate shock, right, for customers, and just as a matter of operation of the utility business, they are more -- it's a better situation to address them on a yearly basis. The best example is fuel, for example. That's something that utilities don't gain a return It's essentially passthrough along with environmental costs that state agencies may turn down requirements that utilities have to make investments to address environmental issues, for example.

1	Those things, they fluctuate too quickly
2	to properly fit in the normal cycle, for
3	instance, of a rate-based proceeding rate
4	case proceeding. Rate cases come along
5	every three or four years. These things
6	move up and down a lot quicker. And they
7	can if they're moving in the wrong
8	direction, they can quickly put a utility in
9	a disadvantage as to their operation.
10	There are evidentiary hearings. They're
11	fully litigated for the most part and they
12	happen every year near the end of our year.
13	And you see the examples there,
14	environmental compliance and conservation
15	programs, which I had mentioned earlier, and
16	fuel cost.
17	And we establish it says here rates,
18	actually, what we do is establish factors.
19	Factors are energy based, so sales based,
20	consumption based.
21	Your base rate proceeding, that's our
22	bread and butter, essentially, in terms of
23	rate making. They are not held that
24	frequently, and for good reason. They're
25	very highly litigated, they're very

contentious and they're very expensive. So

it's a good thing that they don't come

around very often. But -- so on the

average, three to four years.

2.2

And during those proceedings is where you establish the proper accounting for all of the investment that a utility may have in the ground. And as a result, you come up with numbers for rate base, as they call it.

And then there are other factors that are included in what ultimately becomes a revenue requirement, which is the total amount of hours upon which rates are based. They become fallouts at the end. As it says here, those utility systems are 40-to-60-year lives. So you're engaging in a little bit of forward looking as to what the operations and what the growth is going to be in the operations to meet those -- that demand are going to be necessary.

This slide --

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Baez, let me stop you right there, because I'm going through my list of things I was asking about. So I don't think we come back and

1	talk about the rate of return beyond this
2	slide. So help me out on the rate of
3	return. In your slide, you just have
4	percent, but there is no percent. Is that
5	something that's defined
6	MR. BAEZ: This is covered a little
7	later on, but we can talk about it now if
8	you want. Your question, I'm sorry,
9	Mr. Chair?
10	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Is the rate of
11	return something that's defined somewhere in
12	statutes? Does each company have a
13	different rate of return on their
14	investment?
15	MR. BAEZ: I'll take the second part of
16	your question first. Yes, each company has
17	a different rate of return than others
18	because it's based on a lot of elements,
19	financial elements, that are adduced at
20	hearing or through the administrative
21	process. There is a slide that explains
22	that a little later, but some of the
23	things
24	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I'll wait. I
25	didn't see that slide.

1 MR. BAEZ: You were talking about cost of capital and so forth.

2.2

I'm not going to spend too much time here. The revenue requirement, you see the formula that goes into it. So it's not -- you know, revenue requirement is not just this term of art and then we figure out what it means. There is actual math involved, actual accounting, even.

To your question, Mr. Chairman, your rate of return, and you can see in this slide title Cost of Capital, if you're all there, your rate of return bears a relation to the specific utility's cost of capital, so how much it costs them to either borrow money, how much it costs them to dilute its own ownership over the company, issuing stock or other things, issuing debt. So those are the elements that the Commission uses in order to build up an appropriate rate of return.

You'll see later on there are cases,

Supreme Court cases, that sort of set the

parameters for what the Commission's

activity is going to be, because you're not

1	just in the same ways I told you they
2	each have their own unique characteristics
3	that build into a rate of return, you also
4	look around, right, because in the end, the
5	reality is these utilities are competing for
6	dollars on the market with other utilities
7	sort of like them around the company the
8	country, rather. And so there is an outward
9	looking aspect with the setting rate of
10	return, you're trying to create a proper
11	cohort for the utility that you're
12	considering so that you're looking for
13	comparable rates as well, if that makes
14	sense.
15	You had a question? Oh, I'm sorry.
16	COUNCILMAN WILSON: No.
17	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: You want to let
18	him go through? I was making sure he was
19	covering my points. I fear if we start
20	taking questions, we may not get through.
21	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: I know, but it's a
22	really good question.
23	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Well, let's hear
24	the question, Mr. Anderson, then we'll vote
25	on whether it's a good one or not.

1	COUNCILMAN	ANDERSON:	Well,	then	I'm	not
2	going to ask i	t.				

2.2

So it's interesting -- by the way, thank you for being here. And I apologize, you don't have to answer it now, but hopefully at some point you will. This model essentially is kind of a cost plus model basically --

MR. BAEZ: Yes, that's correct.

COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: -- with the risk being timing. And so does the Commission have any view on timing if you saw -- so for example, if the cost equation got out of whack and -- would you expect a utility to come to you and say, "Hey, my cost equation is out of whack, I have to raise revenues"? That was my question.

MR. BAEZ: In a roundabout way, the answer to your question is yes. And we're going to get to that, because there's -- when we set a rate of return, we don't throw a dart and then say, that's the point. You have a range. And within that, there is a -- it kind of self-regulates, so that in many cases determines when that window or

1 when your ability to come and ask for a 2 review of your rates so that you can recover 3 costs properly happens. And it can happen -- it works both ways. So if you're 4 5 over your range, then the Commission gets involved, as the option; and if you're under 6 7 your range, the company has the opportunity 8 to petition the Commission.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Now, I say that with a little bit of a caveat, right. These things don't happen -it's like you say, it's timing. Well, these things are -- happen over time, so picture -- I would hesitate for anyone to picture either the Commission or the utility on both sides of that equation, right, just sitting around waiting for the needle to dip above or below. It has to be persistent. And persistent is a vague term, but it has to be ongoing and predicted to continue, right, before that condition really truly exists, because therein lies your justification too in terms of the administrative process. You have to support every claim that you make, and just like that you have to support your reason for

1	being there, correct. I hope that answers
2	your question.
3	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: It does, thank
4	you.
5	MR. BAEZ: We were going to get into it
6	later, but now is just as good a time as
7	any.
8	I had mentioned the Supreme Court cases
9	that sort of put the flavor of the work of
LO	the Commission, and there are two cases I
L1	would call to your attention. One is a very
12	old case, Bluefield, that essentially
13	establishes the right of a utility in a
L 4	monopoly, correct, to have an opportunity to
15	earn a fair rate of return. And the word
16	opportunity is key here, because there are
17	no guarantees. And since it's just an
L8	opportunity, then we lapse back into
L 9	Councilman Anderson's question.
20	And the second one is Hope. And that's
21	where we take the notion of that
22	outward-looking perspective that I had
23	mentioned before, is that you should be
24	comparing apples to apples in a sense. And

so that's what leads to not only the unique

25

Ţ	rate of return that reflects the unique
2	characteristics of the utility in question,
3	but also doesn't place it at a disadvantage
4	relative to other utilities of its size and
5	scope, for example. There are many points
6	of comparison that I won't bore you with,
7	but that's sort of the notion you get from
8	these cases. And that's what adds a little
9	bit of texture and judgment to the work of
10	the Commission.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: The Commission
12	was the plaintiff in the Hope case?
13	MR. BAEZ: No. Usually we get sued. So
14	if you give me a moment. No, that's Florida
15	Power Corp.
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: That's Florida
17	Power, I'm sorry. Okay.
18	MR. BAEZ: Alphabet soup, I can never
19	know who they're talking about. And
20	actually, you have a breakdown of the rate
21	case process. To be brief on that, it's an
22	administrative process subject to Florida
23	Statutes Chapter 120. And it is essentially
24	a hearing that involves testimony and
25	witnesses and cross-examination and

everything else that you see in a hearing, really. And all the aspects of that revenue requirement, you remember that term, that final large number upon which all rates are based, right, all the issues on that revenue requirement are up for discussion at the outset. Some more than others, as you would imagine. And you can see at the bottom there usually it's about a 12-month process before -- from beginning to end when rates actually are coming into force, new rates perhaps.

2.2

Much of the work of the commission

staff, in particular, is the monitoring

function after the rate case. As I had

mentioned before, we come up with a range

for the rate of return and then monitoring

function is what keeps an eye on where in

that range of earning a particular utility

is for the exact fact, again I reference

Councilman Anderson's question, when windows

might open, when opportunities or

necessities also arise. And then you can

see there if the utility is overearning,

they can be called in and vice versa.

The next of the three concepts are the surcharges for storm restoration. I know you all have a little bit of experience with what causes the need for storm restoration, so I share your pain. But this has become a necessity and it was -- the concept was instituted probably about 15 years ago from now or 13, right, in the '04, '05 season.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Once upon a time, it was -- you know, the normal course of operations is that utilities have what they call storm funds. And so the funds that feed that reserve, right, maintain it at a certain level are baked into the rates. So every rate now has a little bit of that revenue going to fund a storm reserve, but the storm reserve can only be a certain size, right, because you don't want it being too big a piece of a bill or of revenue, in this case, and you don't want it to be too small. So that's sort of an art. You're trying to set a number and usually that also may be a litigated number or a number that gets agreed to through the process.

But beyond that, we all know the chances

are more likely than not that you undershot 1 2 your numbers. Whenever there is an excess 3 in storm recovery for any given storm, those reserves get depleted, this mechanism is in 4 5 place to help re-fund that storm -- not refund, but re-fund, and to also pick up 6 7 whatever excesses in cost were existed. 8 These processes are by companies -- by 9 company petition. They come and they 10 petition the Commission to do whatever 11 activities are necessary, whatever funding 12 activities are necessary in order to 13 replenish the storm reserves and also to 14 recover any excess restoration costs above 15 that number.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

They're, again, fully litigated. We're in the middle of some now with going back to Irma and Matthew. So these things can take a little bit of time.

Within that storm surcharge mechanism,
the Commission does have the ability to, as
any deliberative body might have, to
establish interim conditions in order not to
have that regulatory lag, as we call it, the
storm was three years ago and you're getting

the rate hit now later. We can manage that
to some extent. So think of all of that
being wrapped up into that process.

2.2

And lastly, we have consumer assistance.

And this one is near and dear to my heart.

You got a bunch of really, really good
people that do the primary function here,
tending to the customers' complaints,
customers' concerns and need for
information. I can't stress enough the
importance of addressing a need for
information to the customers, to the
consumers of the state that are served by
our utilities. So you have a bunch of those
functions that are sort of wrapped up in the
consumer assistance program.

That said, we also have a formal complaint process so that any customer who has some grievance against -- whether about its service or treatment or what have you, whatever the basis may be, with regards to the services being provided by any given provider, they can come to the -- they have the ability under the law to come to the Commission and say, hey, I'm being treated

1	this or one way or another or here is a
2	problem that I have. And you can see a long
3	list of most of the common complaints that
4	the customers have. I'm sure that none of
5	them are necessarily foreign or so unique
6	that all of us have not seen them.
7	I did a lot better than I thought.
8	We're at the end. And I'm happy to answer
9	any questions. I want to thank you for
10	letting me walk you through this.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: We're going to
12	have some questions. I've got a few that I
13	want to make sure if you covered these on
14	the list I sent you, I may have missed it in
15	your presentation. So I want to go back to
16	the three different rates mechanisms, the
17	annual rate recovery, the base rate, the
18	surcharge. Are those all independent
19	proceedings, evaluations? Are they
20	MR. BAEZ: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So each one of
22	those is taken up as an individual
23	MR. BAEZ: They are discreet
24	proceedings. Rarely have I seen
25	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay. I think I

1	asked you about defining the uniform rate.
2	So in Florida you have you listed the
3	different utilities, like five
4	investor-owned electric utilities. So
5	regardless of where the customer may be
6	located in the utility's territory, a
7	customer in Panama City would be paying the
8	same rate your ratemaking process, you
9	look at the entity globally within the state
10	of Florida and
11	MR. BAEZ: Yes.
12	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: so somebody in
13	Panama City is going to be paying the same
14	rate as somebody in Fort Lauderdale?
15	MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir. Well, I take issue
16	with the cities you used because they're in
17	two different territories. I apologize.
18	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: With regard to
19	the storm surcharge, that's also applied
20	uniformly; correct? So if a hurricane
21	destroys Dade County but everybody else is
22	unaffected, anybody that's part of the
23	utility's system that Dade County is a part
24	of, they share in the replenishment or the
25	reestablishment of those reserve funds.

1	MR. BAEZ: That's correct. I do want to
2	clarify one thing with Mark, because I'm
3	having trouble remembering excuse me,
4	remembering whether the storm surcharges are
5	based on are they an energy based class
6	or just a flat surcharge it's per
7	kilowatt hour.
8	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Our utility
9	MR. BAEZ: So it's a usage base charge.
10	It's a factor.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Our utilities
12	provided some information about existing
13	storm recovery fees that were in effect for
14	a couple of different utilities out there.
15	MR. BAEZ: To your larger question,
16	Mr. Chairman, the answer is yes. So I'll
17	give you an example. An FPL customer in
18	Daytona Beach would pay both the same rate,
19	and in our latest example, the storm
20	surcharge, they would have the same factor
21	as anyone who was for instance, if
22	Daytona Beach had not been damaged, had not
23	suffered damage. There is no allocation to
24	the damaged for that. And the theory being
25	that it does I mean, A, I would refer you

1	back to the undue discrimination. You can't
2	charge similarly situated customers
3	different rates. So that's one limitation.

2.2

And the second is, over the course of years, eventually there is going to be a situation where Daytona Beach got damaged, unfortunately and God forbid, but you see my point. Eventually it evens out.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Right. Going back to the ratemaking process or -- in the three different categories that you have that you take up separately, the annual cost, the base rate and the storm surcharges, give me a little bit more detail on how that process unfolds. Somebody applies for an annual cost recovery, someone applies for that intermittent base rate proceeding that you do every two or three years and the storm surcharges. So when that process begins, are the customers of that utility notified somehow, someway?

MR. BAEZ: Yes, they are. And I'll take pieces of it and try and answer your question. So all the petitions that change rates -- and we're talking about rates, and

there is a difference, okay. So for the rate case proceeding, the base rate, that's subject to notices and also by law the Commission is required to have, for example, customer hearings in the territories.

2.2

Again, for example, for Duke, given their territory, that's a pretty large territory, you might have five throughout the territory. And the purpose of that is to let the customers give some public testimony to the Commission. The reason for that isn't just the cosmetic, it's quality of service is always an issue in a base rate proceeding.

So the Commission engages in an analysis and consideration of exactly how well any given utility is living up to its side of the bargain, correct. And if they're not — if they're not providing, if it's determined or felt that it's not providing the level of service that customers generally in their territory ought to be entitled to, they have an opportunity to address that with the utility. So quality of service is always issue in a base rate proceeding, and public

Ţ	testimony becomes important to that
2	determination.
3	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: How does the
4	public know that they can participate?
5	MR. BAEZ: It's public notice.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Does it appear on
7	their bill? Do they get mail, something?
8	MR. BAEZ: It appears on their bills
9	through inserts, perhaps. It appears in the
10	newspaper of record, for example. It
11	appears on there are any number of ways
12	now given digital platforms to get not
13	just get the word out, we have a press
14	office, or a public information office, too,
15	so we bear some of that responsibility as
16	well.
17	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So the base rate
18	hearing, I'm assuming that takes place in
19	Tallahassee once you've done all of the
20	MR. BAEZ: The technical part of the
21	hearing, yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So I'm guessing
23	the utility probably has counsel there
24	making their case for the rate base.
25	MR. BAEZ: One or two counsel, yeah.

1	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I'm sure. And
2	then how is the public represented? I see
3	where there is
4	MR. BAEZ: Great question.
5	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: an affected
6	party can intervene and you provide public
7	counsel.
8	MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir.
9	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: But is the public
10	represented prior to any intervention?
11	MR. BAEZ: I'll give you a fuzzy answer,
12	Mr. Chairman, and the answer is yes. The
13	office of public counsel, it is also a
14	legislative agency that has its own budget
15	and its own independence under the guidance
16	of the public counsel, yes. They are the
17	public's representative in any one of these
18	proceedings and they have leave to intervene
19	in any docket that the Commission has, so
20	they by right. So they represent the
21	ratepayers of the state of Florida.
22	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay. So like a
23	public defender that just represents the
24	general public? And they have the ability
25	to

MR. BAEZ: In a sense that they don't need any independent -- they intervene at their own discretion and in representation of their -- of their clients, which are the ratepayers. No ratepayer has to ask them to intervene. They are an integral part of the Commission's overall process. So in that regard, yes, they do have representation and they have representation almost at all times.

2.2

You said something as part of your question, whether before an intervention or not. How I would answer that is that, yes, they do have representation, because OPC, Office of Public Counsel, is so ubiquitous in our process that, you know, whether they intervened or they didn't, their presence is felt and they are the voice of the public, the public's interest in those proceedings.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: One of the questions that came up at a previous meeting, I listed it on my information that I sent, was do local jurisdictions, let's say a county in the Duke Energy territory or a county in the FPL territory, do they have

1	any ability to establish minimum service or
2	storm response standards or is that all
3	delegated to the PSC at that point?
4	MR. BAEZ: I think, as a general matter,
5	the answer to that would be no, because I
6	think those that type of subject, I have
7	a vested interest in saying no. I think
8	there are those that might disagree and have
9	disagreed or are disagreeing even now.
10	But I would tell you, from our
11	perspective, is that there are categories
12	such as you mentioned, you know, service
13	quality standards and other such categories
14	that fall squarely within our exclusive
15	jurisdiction. And I think the
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I have two more
17	points that I think I'm not clear that we
18	covered. The first one I want to go back
19	to, the rate of return. So you explained
20	that that can be different for let's just
21	focus on electric utility, five
22	investor-owned electric utilities, that can
23	be different for each company based on a
24	number of variables.
25	MR. BAEZ: Right.

1	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: That is so can
2	you give me, like, what the rate of return
3	is for FPL? That's something that you're
4	obligated to make sure that they can earn
5	that return in your ratemaking process?
6	MR. BAEZ: Not make sure. Again, I've
7	used the word opportunity, so and I think
8	that the reason that that word opportunity
9	is important is because as a regulator we're
10	not micromanaging the operations of any
11	utility. So with
12	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Do you know what
13	any of the rates are for the existing five
14	electric IOUs?
15	MR. BAEZ: I believe and I'll speak
16	in terms of midpoints, okay, and you add
17	higher basis points north or south. But
18	right now it's a 10.5.
19	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: 10.5 return?
20	MR. BAEZ: 10.5.
21	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: How much of that
22	is based I think you said in one of your
23	slides that was based on their investment,
24	correct, in their infrastructure?
25	MR. BAEZ: Well, it's applied to their

1 investment and infrastructure. And that's 2 sort of -- all of that taken in creates that 3 revenue requirement that I spoke of. CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Is there some 4 investment infrastructure that would be not 5 included in that? 6 MR. BAEZ: Sure. 7 8 CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Can you give me 9 some examples of what might be included and 10 what might not be included. 11 MR. BAEZ: Well, for example, and I'm 12 getting perilously close to a specialty that 13 I'm very dangerous at, so forgive my 14 concern. But, yes, I'll give you an 15 example. Any number of -- let's say 16 transmission lines, for example. Any given 17 percentage might be, what we'll call, 18 jurisdictional, right. And that's a -- it's 19 a mathematical calculation that perhaps is 20 quite complex. I wouldn't know. But you 21 take any example of any asset of a company, 2.2 part of that asset could be dedicated to 23 wholesale service as opposed to residential, 24 service within the territory. Well, that 25

allocation gets made.

1 So in my example, if 90 percent of that 2 asset is dedicated to service within its 3 territory, then that becomes jurisdictional and only that 90 percent of that asset gets 4 counted and is subject to recover. I don't 5 know if that answers your question. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: That answers it. 8 I'm assuming, if the company bought a beach-front condo for the CEO, that probably 9 10 wouldn't be included in your base for 11 computing. 12 MR. BAEZ: Not unless it was justified 13 and found to be a prudent investment. And 14 there is another word for it is prudence. 15 And that's a standard, what a reasonable --16 would a reasonable man have that 17 expectation. 18 CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay. Finally, I 19 think there was a question about 20 undergrounding. Does the Public Service 21 Commission have any kind of position or --2.2 we've had a lot of discussions recently 23 about the cost of post-storm damage and the 2.4 value of undergrounding. And about 55 25 percent of our community is underground. So

1	does the Public Service Commission, are you
2	looking at this? Is that something that's
3	included? I mean, if a utility is spending
4	dollars to underground laterals and
5	distribution networks, is that something
6	that would qualify for an investment that
7	the rate of return could be applied towards
8	or
9	MR. BAEZ: Yes. I think it would be
10	proposed, for example, and I think we're in
11	the midst of proposals by various utilities
12	to engage in just that type of activity,
13	whether a final word has final
14	determination has been had or not, I don't
15	think we're there yet.
16	But to your larger question, yeah, we do
17	have a policy towards undergrounding. And
18	right now since I think all the utilities
19	have in their tariffs what we'll call
20	differentials in cost. And you recognize
21	that there is a difference in cost to
22	overhead facilities as opposed to
23	underground. Underground is more expensive,
24	et cetera.
25	And right now the way that it gets dealt

with in the company's tariffs is if anyone is requesting undergrounding, for example, a municipality that wants to do that for the general benefit of its citizens, right, can ask and request for the utility to, we'll say, price it out. And the way the tariffs read right now is that the city, for example, the cost causer, in a way, is the one that bears the burden for the difference.

2.2

So we have the baseline, which is overhead, because that's what at this point in time was determined to be sufficient to maintain a certain level of quality, a certain level of reliability throughout a territory. And then you have that differential, so that's sort of á la carte treatment of underground.

Now fast-forward, I mentioned there are companies, some of the utilities are looking into, for instance, undergrounding laterals and other parts of its system. That has a separate justification, right. That is in the interest of what's being called now resiliency and storm hardening as well. So

1 that creates an independent basis for 2 rolling these costs in and creating a more 3 robust system. And now we're into how you spent the cost across the customer base. So 4 5 you've got -- right now you've got two -- I won't call them parallel, but two thoughts 6 and two methodologies sort of exist, two 7 8 independent justifications in a way. 9 CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay. So I think 10 what I'm hearing you say is that maybe the 11 Public Service Commission is wading into the 12 waters, so to speak, of encouraging or 13 adopting some policy with regard to 14 pardoning through undergrounding. 15 MR. BAEZ: Well, again, to be clear, the 16 policy -- there is a static policy now, 17 which is that differential, it's on a 18 case-by-case basis, customer-by-customer 19 basis. And that's something that any 20 customer can access. And I would tell you 21 more to the point, any group of customers. 2.2 I used the example of a municipality, 23 because it's sort of that's at the level 24 that it takes place and it presents itself. 25 And based on the tariffs, there is a

1	differential. And that differential is the
2	responsibility of the party that's
3	requesting the utility to engage in the
4	underground activity. So that's the policy
5	right now.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: How does that
7	party pay for that?
8	MR. BAEZ: Excuse me?
9	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: How does the
10	party so X, Y, Z, Florida community of
11	15,000 says to their IOU, we want to
12	undergound. The IOU gives them a price on
13	what it's going to cost to facilitate the
14	undergrounding.
15	MR. BAEZ: Right. So far so good.
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Does the
17	municipality just write a check to the IOU?
18	Does the municipality have an ability to
19	have some sort of additional rate levied on
20	the municipality's electric customers? How
21	does that get recovered?
22	MR. BAEZ: I think you've hit on two
23	good ways of addressing it. And as a
24	recovering lawyer, I would tell you that it
25	depends. And it depends on what the number

1	is and it depends on what kind of funding
2	mechanisms, for example, the municipality
3	would have available to it. They could
4	issue bonds. It depends on how big the
5	number is too.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Well, I think
7	that's everything on the list. So
8	Councilman Love, followed by President
9	Brosche, Dennis, Hazouri and Becton.
LO	Mr. Love.
11	COUNCILMAN LOVE: Thank you.
12	Is it Mr. Baez (pronouncing)?
13	MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir.
L 4	COUNCILMAN LOVE: Thank you for coming
15	today. I'll give you the easy question
16	first and then the longer question second,
L7	okay. Do you monitor the salaries of the
18	IOUs, the executive salaries?
L 9	MR. BAEZ: Yes. The answer is yes.
20	COUNCILMAN LOVE: I mean, do you
21	regulate them?
22	MR. BAEZ: Well, regulate we don't
23	have a hand in setting salaries, so in that
24	sense, no.

COUNCILMAN LOVE: All right. Number

1	two, this is a longer one, what is the
2	difference in the regulation between the
3	IOUs and the municipalities, how do you
4	municipals? There is a difference you said
5	you had limited.
6	MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir.
7	COUNCILMAN LOVE: What are the
8	differences?
9	MR. BAEZ: Two main differences, and
10	that speaks to that principle of
11	sovereignty, right. So a municipal utility
12	doesn't require the regulation of a of
13	the Public Service Commission in terms of
14	accountability. So we'll take it from the
15	customers' point of view. If I'm a
16	municipal electric customer and I have a
17	problem, I take it up with whoever the
18	governing body is. In this case, the City
19	Council. And that's
20	COUNCILMAN LOVE: So you take any
21	complaints from our utility; is that
22	correct?
23	MR. BAEZ: Not formally and not in
24	process. I'm fairly sure we get calls from
25	all manner of

1	COUNCILMAN LOVE: I'm sure you do. What
2	is the second difference?
3	MR. BAEZ: The second difference
4	well, and now we'll go into the parts that
5	we do regulate. You heard me mention before
6	in Florida statutes the law tasks the Public
7	Service Commission with maintaining or
8	seeing that a reliable electric grid is
9	maintained. We know that all even JEA's
10	facilities are interconnected with the
11	larger electric grid. And by virtue of
12	that, there is a certain amount of
13	jurisdiction, a certain amount of authority
14	over JEA's additions and subtractions to
15	that grid, if that makes sense. But it
16	doesn't regulate how much a municipal
17	utility can charge. It can only regulate ir
18	terms of rates, whether the rates between
19	the classes are disparate.
20	So you heard me use a term called cross
21	subsidization; that being that, you know, by
22	class your the costs caused by a
23	commercial class of customer is being
24	subsidized, for example, by the residential
25	class. So as closely as possible, the cost

1 to serve a particular class of customers is 2 covered by the revenues from that particular 3 class of customers. Absent that, you've got a cross- -- and we, in some instances we do 4 5 have ability to review that, but not the 6 numbers themselves. CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you, 7 8 Mr. Love. 9 Council President Brosche. 10 PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 11 12 Through the Chair to Mr. Baez, thank 13 you, as well, for being here. Picking up on 14 the question that Councilman Love was asking 15 as it relates to salaries and you don't 16 regulate salaries. So the IRS comes in and makes people and businessowners make sure 17 18 that they have reasonable -- from making 19 sure they have salaries. So there is no 20 reasonableness assessment by the Commission? MR. BAEZ: Well, I'll try and be as 21 2.2 precise as I can. The salaries themselves, 23 right, are an expense group, if you will, 24 that pours into this larger number that, as 25

I described in some way before, is litigated

1 and negotiated and so forth. But on the 2 principle that a regulator regulates and 3 doesn't manage, therein lies the question of, you know, well, as long as salaries -- I 4 5 don't know how to explain it. There is such a thing as a red flag, yes. And there have 6 7 been cases, you know, where condos and 8 helicopters and jet airplanes become, you 9 know, the sexy topic and whether it's good 10 or bad or what have you. 11 Now, the salaries are a tricky part. 12 That's sort of subject to some market. But 13 as a part of the overall then we're 14 blessing -- the Commission ostensibly is 15 blessing a much larger number wherein that 16 class has an expense. So there is not -there is not a value judgment made as to 17 18 whether executive A, B or C is making too 19 much or too little. That's not a level of,

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

PRESIDENT BROSCHE: So through the

Chair, so you probably get salaries in a

bulk number. I mean, is the executive

salary broken out separately?

I would argue, authority. Doesn't mean it

doesn't become an issue, I will tell you.

1	MR. BAEZ: It is. And again, I mean,
2	it's not that hard to find out an officer's
3	salary if it's a publicly traded company.
4	The SEC is interested in that too.
5	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Right. So you
6	mentioned that every utility has different
7	assets, different equity structure, you
8	know, different rates of return based on the
9	risks that they've taken. And so it sounds
10	like it's not really that easy to do an
11	apples-to-apples comparison. Is there peer
12	comparison? Do you evaluate the utilities
13	to make sure that there is some sort of
14	range or reasonableness amongst the
15	industry?
16	MR. BAEZ: There are various universes,
17	right, that are sort of looked at and
18	maybe either are ascending or descending, so
19	you have the utility industry at large, you
20	have the large utilities, you have the
21	smaller utilities. So depending on scope,
22	correct, you mentioned a term, there are
23	equity ratios, so that can swing a rate of
24	return north or south in and of itself. So

I think the best answer to your question is

1 we are trying to look at every aspect and 2 every way that we know is a valid comparison 3 to try and say in the end, you know what, utility X, which has this kind of profile, 4 5 right, compared to its other, say, cohorts nationwide, because there may be, there most 6 likely are of the same size or the same or 7 8 similar profile, and that sort of acts as a 9 reality check when you have that number that 10 says it's north or south or too much or too 11 little compared to its cohort. 12 Now, that's not a determining factor. 13 It's not a definitive factor, right. It's 14 just something that gets thrown in the mix 15 because, as we started the conversation, 16 every utility really is unique. And you 17 have to try and normalize them against their 18 cohort as much as possible, but sometimes 19 it's not a perfect -- it's never a perfect 20 fit. 21 PRESIDENT BROSCHE: So you mentioned --2.2 is it the Office of Public Counsel, is that 23 what it --24 MR. BAEZ: The Office of Public Counsel,

25

yes.

1	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: And they are
2	representing the ratepayers?
3	MR. BAEZ: Correct.
4	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: And so are they
5	there during the rate analysis and, you
6	know, in touch with this formula and giving
7	all of their input on this revenue
8	requirement formula that looks really
9	complex?
10	MR. BAEZ: I think the I'm going to
11	answer it yes, and this is why: The Office
12	of Public Counsel is remember I mentioned
13	that, for instance, these rate proceedings,
14	they are litigated proceedings, okay. And
15	so the Office of Public Counsel functions as
16	a party to the proceeding. So if you
17	picture the field, right, you've got the
18	utility on one side and you've got the
19	Office of Public Counsel and other
20	interveners. I mean, there are interveners
21	that represent the industrial class or the
22	commercial class, the Retail Federation is
23	often a party. So you've got the two sides
24	and they are engaging in litigation in
25	earnest. So they're propounding discovery

to each other, which the others are sort of duty bound under the process to provide, and there is an exchange of information, at the same time that we're gathering information.

2.2

So it's sort of a three-headed monster, if you will. And at the end of all that -- and all the information is public, right. So whatever the staff, for example, would ask, the response to those inquiries becomes public and is available to the office of public counsel. Office of Public Counsel, for example, may have a different question. That information is available to all involved as well.

So if you -- so if you see it, they are -- it's an adversarial process, right.

So there is disagreements as to what's a reasonable request and so forth, as you can imagine. But I think the answer is, yes, in that there is enough information for everyone to take. And the rules, the laws do require a certain level of information to be filed at the outset as well, creates that basis upon which all other questions are directed.

PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Okay. Through the

Chair, my last question, I think, at this

point is could you think of an example in

one of the rate increase hearings and

processes where the Commission denied the

rate increase?

MR. BAEZ: I can. It didn't go well.

2.2

MR. BAEZ: I can. It didn't go well. I think that word denial is -- has to have some kind of context. There are within any proceeding, for example, a company that requests X amount of dollars and seeks to justify that request. And through analysis and through discovery and through the process, that number -- the number that comes out at the end is most likely totally different than the number that everyone started with. And therein lies that word denial, or adjustments, or, I guess, disqualifications, pick your word, right.

And so when I say denial, it's not an all-or-nothing proposition. There are costs that are completely justified. There are costs that are subject to argument, right. There are proposed costs that are denied, that are not accepted, that are disallowed.

1	So the number going in is rarely the number
2	that comes out.
3	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: So has there ever
4	been a case when someone didn't get an
5	increase at all?
6	MR. BAEZ: Not in my memory, but my
7	memory isn't all the memory. So I'm sure
8	there are examples at some point.
9	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Thank you so much.
10	MR. BAEZ: It's always a possibility.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
12	President Brosche.
13	Councilman Dennis.
14	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Through the Chair, I
15	want to say, Council President, thank you,
16	because that was the second question on my
17	page about any companies being denied.
18	But thank you
19	MR. BAEZ: I'm glad you're going to ask
20	that question, but go ahead.
21	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: You're glad that I
22	didn't?
23	MR. BAEZ: No. That you are, because I
24	thought of a better way to put it more
25	artfully.

1	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: All right. I'll
2	come to it, but not my first question.
3	Well, thank you for being here. Thank
4	you for driving all the way from
5	Tallahassee. I spent five years there in
6	college. I was on the extended college
7	plan.
8	But a few questions here, my first
9	question, the five investor-owned companies
10	that you all oversee, did they all apply for
11	a surcharge after the hurricane?
12	MR. BAEZ: Not all of them.
13	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Not all of them.
14	MR. BAEZ: And probably some because
15	they didn't get the damage or they had
16	enough in reserve.
17	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: And so here we had
18	two hurricanes: We had Irma that we were
19	really affected by and Matthew. Now, do you
20	remember or can you recall the companies
21	that applied for a surcharge? And also, did
22	the same company apply for both disasters?
23	MR. BAEZ: Well, I know that Power &
24	Light was affected clearly both times.
25	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: What company was

1	that?
2	MR. BAEZ: Florida Power & Light. I
3	know Duke had some recovery for Matthew, but
4	I can't say I know for sure whether they
5	went the surcharge route or they were okay
6	out of reserve only so that a surcharge
7	wasn't necessarily applied for. But do you
8	want to I'm going to phone a friend and
9	let Mr. Futrell.
LO	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Introduce yourself.
11	MR. FUTRELL: Mark Futrell with the
L2	Commission staff.
L3	And, Chairman, if I may respond quickly.
L 4	There were requests from Duke Energy and
15	Tampa Electric Company and Florida Public
L 6	Utilities Company for surcharges related to
L7	Irma, restoration from Irma. However, in
L8	the case of Duke and Tampa Electric, the
19	it corresponded to time-wise with the
20	federal tax legislation that reformed
21	corporate tax rates in part. And they were
22	able to identify savings from those
23	corporate tax rate reductions to help offset
24	the cost associated with restoration costs

with Irma.

1	With Florida Public Utilities, we still
2	have an outstanding request that is being
3	processed. We don't have a timeline on when
4	that's going to come before the Commission.
5	But Florida Public Utilities is a small
6	company that operates up in Fernandina and
7	also has a Marianna out towards the
8	northwest part of the state. So those three
9	other utilities did make requests, and
10	that's kind of where those landed.
11	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Thank you. My next
12	question deals with PSC evaluating the
13	books. I read on one slide here, I don't
14	have the page right off of that, the books
15	are open to regulators. So I want to know
16	how do you, PSC, evaluate the books from a
17	10,000-foot level.
18	MR. BAEZ: Well, one of our divisions,
19	if you looked at the schematic early on in
20	the deck, we have a pretty robust auditing
21	division, so they would be the ones that
22	would carry out, they're the boots on the
23	ground in terms of auditing the books and
24	records of the company.
25	As you might imagine, these companies

1 are massive. And so we take a more targeted 2 approach to the auditing. But in a context 3 of a rate case, then everything sort of becomes -- everything is on the table, 4 5 everything that's been put on the table gets I'm not sure if that answers -- if 6 7 that answers your question. 8 COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Yeah. I was just 9 curious because I know, as we've been going 10 through the whole process of JEA and knowing 11 the value of JEA, and it's so complex, and 12 you know -- and so for you all to evaluate 13 our rate increase, how are you -- you all 14 are able to do it? 15 MR. BAEZ: It's person power expertise. 16 And I think you saw one up here. It pays to 17 have smart people. So the folks down his 18 line, I dare say, are even better at it than 19 he is in their particular niche of

experience.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

So I don't know how better to answer

you -- answer your question. We do have

resources dedicated to that function and,

you know, all the rate cases don't show up

at once. I mean there's -- it takes 12

months for a reason. And you know, four
months of that is audited, if that makes
sense.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Sure. Now, on slide 20, there is a statement here that says that the companies -- the companies, they're able to earn a fair rate of return on their capital investments for a test year. So my question is as an investor-owned company, what is a fair rate of return? I mean, if I'm investing in a business, a fair rate of return is 100 percent, you know, in my opinion. So what is a fair rate of return? Because investors want as much money as they can get. And so, of course, their rate of return would be higher than a ratepayer that says, hey, listen, you get three percent or five percent.

MR. BAEZ: Understood. And I think that's the magic of the word fair, right.

And I think at the outset I said that word is the one that causes the most trouble. It is one over which folks disagree with the most. And that really is the work of the Commission is to end the public interest,

1 determine what is fair. And I think you 2 heard a lot of conversation about everything 3 that goes into the comparison of like utilities and how every one of their --4 5 COUNCILMAN DENNIS: But through the Chair, at some point you have to start -- so 6 7 what is your baseline? I mean, there has to 8 be a baseline of a percent, you know. We're 9 going to start off at -- you know, when we 10 start evaluating, we're going to start you 11 off at five percent. I mean, I just can't 12 see you all just saying, okay, we're going to go in with an open mind. I mean, you 13 14 have to have some baseline of a fair rate of 15 return. 16 MR. BAEZ: Well, and I --17 COUNCILMAN DENNIS: And what is that? 18 MR. BAEZ: Well, and I would refer you 19 back to the prior conversation. I think 20 that the rate of return is -- I think it's 21 equal parts art and science -- or math, if you will. Because the rate of return is in 2.2 23 large part determined by the specific -- by 24 the specific cost of capital, for example,

of a company.

1	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: So if a company goes
2	out and borrows money and they borrow money
3	at 18 percent, all right, and so then PSC
4	will allow them to charge the ratepayers
5	MR. BAEZ: At least that.
6	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: 25 percent so
7	they can pay off the 18 percent and collect
8	another 7 percent, I mean?
9	MR. BAEZ: Well, theoretically that's
10	sort of the relationship that what you
11	just described there pretty encapsules
12	the relationship between those numbers.
13	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: So that takes me to
14	my next question. So thank you for that.
15	So if I'm an investor-owned company and I go
16	to buy another company such as JEA, all
17	right, and so I'm going to take on debt,
18	\$5 billion of debt to purchase this company.
19	And so as I was flipping through your
20	handout, it basically says that, you know,
21	for debt I missed that page. But, you
22	know, the capital costs, you know,
23	long-term, short-term debt, so if a company,
24	a private investor company, investor-owned
25	company, goes out and borrows \$10 million to

Ţ	buy JEA, so, in essence, they can come
2	petition PSC, because we've gone out and
3	we're incurring \$10 billion in debt and we
4	want to recover this debt; so therefore,
5	we're asking for a rate increase or a rate
6	of return to pay off the \$10 billion in debt
7	in acquiring this particular utility. So in
8	essence, what the local ratepayers are
9	paying, we'll see an increase to absorb the
10	debt in which the utility was purchased; am
11	I correct?
12	MR. BAEZ: I
13	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Yes?
14	MR. BAEZ: I would love to say yes, but
15	I cannot.
16	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: But I think you have
17	to say yes because everything that you're
18	saying is that an investor-owned company can
19	petition to increase rates to cover debt.
20	MR. BAEZ: Well, there is a lot of
21	assumptions built into your statement.
22	First of all, they have to be underearning.
23	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: I didn't hear what
24	you said.
25	MR. BAEZ: They have to come and say,

1	hey, we're not making enough money to cover
2	our obligations as a business. And that
3	hasn't been proven. So that's a threshold
4	question, whether they even have a right to
5	petition the Commission or not. They have
6	to prove that they have an underearning
7	position; otherwise, they're earning within
8	their range despite the \$5 billion purchase
9	And again, these are numbers that we're
10	using and they have no relation to reality.
11	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: So through the
12	Chair, but if we don't have a baseline of
13	what a fair market rate, then -
14	MR. BAEZ: It's not a fair market rate.
15	Market doesn't appear anywhere in this.
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: All right. Than
17	you, Mr. Dennis.
18	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Thank you. That's
19	all my time. I did have a couple other
20	questions, but I'll come back.
21	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Hazouri, you
22	were on the queue and then you dropped off.
23	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Accidentally.
24	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Do you want to
25	ask a question?

1	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Several.
2	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: All right.
3	Mr. Hazouri.
4	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Thank you,
5	Mr. Chairman.
6	Mr. Baez, thank you for being here.
7	Unlike his 5 years, I spent 14 years over in
8	Tallahassee, 12 as a legislator, so I'm
9	somewhat familiar with the PSC.
10	But I do have just some basic questions
11	and then I have a more serious question.
12	The nominating committee is appointed by
13	whom in the legislature, the speaker or
14	MR. BAEZ: It's appointed by the speaker
15	and the president.
16	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Speaker and
17	president of the senate, both?
18	MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir.
19	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: And then it comes
20	over to the senate for approval.
21	MR. BAEZ: Right. At the back end.
22	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Who appoints the
23	public counsel for the PSC?
24	MR. BAEZ: There is a joint oversight
25	committee made up as it's a legislative

1	body
2	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Not the governor?
3	MR. BAEZ: No, sir.
4	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Okay. And before I
5	get to the harder question, you really had
6	46 telephone, paid telephone, competition
7	out there? I mean, we have that many? Do
8	we have a lot of paid telephones in Florida?
9	MR. BAEZ: I don't know what the number
10	is, but it gets smaller every year.
11	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: They exist?
12	MR. BAEZ: I know I get excited when I
13	see one.
14	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: I just was kind of
15	surprised to see that.
16	Let me ask you, now, Councilman
17	Crescimbeni may have alluded to it and I
18	was reading something and I heard an inkling
19	of it if there is a surcharge for storm
20	restoration, Florida Power & Light, who is
21	in Miami, for example? Who is the company
22	down
23	MR. BAEZ: Florida Power & Light.
24	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Florida Power &
25	Light in Miami, severe hurricanes

1	Mr. Love, I'm thinking about insurance
2	now so that's a tremendous amount of
3	damage, electrical damage, what have you, in
4	Miami FP&L. Is that cost spread over all of
5	the FPLs in Florida?
6	MR. BAEZ: All the prudent cost, yes,
7	sir.
8	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Can it cause a rate
9	increase for every city that's under FP&L or
10	does it?
11	MR. BAEZ: I tried to make the
12	distinction between using the word rates,
13	because rates are
14	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Well, it's going to
15	have an impact on Miami, so
16	MR. BAEZ: Yes.
17	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: And I know
18	insurance, if you have a hurricane, the
19	damage, and State Farm no pun intended to
20	make up State Farm, that's mine too so
21	they spread it out over everybody, all 67
22	counties, is that true then for FP&L if it
23	were in a number of counties?
24	MR. BAEZ: Well, through the surcharge,
25	yes. The surcharge would be applicable to

1	all its customers.
2	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: How is that
3	reflected in the rates?
4	MR. BAEZ: Again, I would rates is a
5	specific term. It's a discreet term. And
6	we're talking about surcharge, so surcharges
7	come on and come off and fluctuate.
8	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Well, I get that,
9	but if it has a surcharge, do you then have
10	a surcharge on everybody's electric bill or
11	is it spread out in
12	MR. BAEZ: Yes, sir.
13	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: So it's separate on
14	their bill as a surcharge
15	MR. BAEZ: It's a line item.
16	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: for a particular
17	period of time?
18	MR. BAEZ: For a particular period of
19	time.
20	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: And you-all have
21	experienced that?
22	MR. BAEZ: We're in the midst of it.
23	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Okay. Have you-all
24	had any sales of public utilities to private
25	utilities in Florida? Have you-all dealt

1	with any of those, or have there been any?
2	I'm not sure if there have been. I know
3	Tampa Electric was private, so I don't think
4	that counts.
5	MR. BAEZ: No. The purchase of a
6	municipal utility well, we're we have
7	a current pending matter.
8	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Okay. Maybe this
9	is part of the pending matter. Take JEA,
10	we're not there, we may not ever get there,
11	but say JEA was sold to Duke, Florida Power,
12	whomever. What is your role in that
13	transaction?
14	MR. BAEZ: Well, the Florida Statutes
15	don't give the Commission review authority
16	over the transaction. So
17	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Even if it
18	potentially could affect rates for the new
19	company that's being acquired?
20	MR. BAEZ: No. So the way I would
21	categorize it the way I would
22	characterize it is the actual decision to
23	sell or buy, right, to enter into the
24	transaction, that's not reviewable by the
25	Commission, so that discreet act;

1	however, after that agreement is struck,
2	there are financial impacts that I think you
3	alluded to that may or may not come before
4	the Commission depending on what, for
5	instance, the acquiring utility decides to
6	do, right. Because eventually, they've got
7	to seek leave from the Commission to include
8	certain incremental costs or certain
9	transactionable costs as a result of the
10	transaction, for example. But until they do
11	that, it's off the books and is not covered
12	by rates. They're not recovered on it.
13	That's one example.

As to rates to the customers, right, you would expect, let's assume a differential in rate, all right, but since the acquiring utility, in this case it's a regulated utility, since the acquiring utility is under an obligation through that regulatory compact that I spoke of earlier of charging everyone the same, they would have to make some affirmative -- some affirmative act in order to come back into compliance with that. Because they can't have -- theoretically, at least, they can't have two

1	separate rates for the same type of
2	customer. So you would anticipate some kind
3	of action on that front.
4	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: That would have a
5	domino effect on all the other utilities
6	FP&L has, say if FP&L was the one that
7	purchased, JEA would have a domino effect as
8	far as the rates or what have you, is it
9	spread off just like the surcharge
10	restoration fee?
11	MR. BAEZ: If I'm understanding your
12	question, yes.
13	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: It sounds like what
14	you just said, but maybe
15	MR. BAEZ: Yeah. Simply put, if FP&L
16	came and purchased JEA, then FP&L would then
17	ask for permission to extend its rates to
18	the former JEA customers.
19	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Yes, to former JEA
20	customers, but what about
21	MR. BAEZ: It would be across the board.
22	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Across the board
23	to all of their clients?
24	MR. BAEZ: Everybody would have the same
25	rate.

1	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Baez, I think
2	you said earlier every single ratepayer
3	under an existing IOU, let's take FPL, pays
4	exactly the same rate; right?
5	MR. BAEZ: In a general sense, yes.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: And that holds
7	true for the base rate as it does for the
8	storm surcharge?
9	MR. BAEZ: Yes. They would be
10	applicable across the board.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Whether they live
12	in one corner of the state or the other
13	corner of the state
14	MR. BAEZ: No difference.
15	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: the same IOU
16	umbrella, everybody pays
17	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: That's the point
18	I'm making, Mr. Chairman. That's one point.
19	The other point is there have to be
20	other costs involved in the purchasing. And
21	you-all have nothing to do with the
22	transaction; you-all just get the end
23	product. And that end product is going to
24	have some kind of a ripple effect on the JEA
25	customers here. And probably a chilling

1	effect, if you don't mind me saying that,
2	because of the cost I know you don't
3	know, but you said you-all haven't dealt
4	with that. You said you've got one can I
5	ask you which company it is that's being
6	purchased or maybe you said there's a
7	transaction taking place now.
8	MR. BAEZ: Right now there is an
9	agreement between Florida Power & Light and
10	the City of Vero Beach for the purchase of
11	the City of Vero Beach
12	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Vero Beach has a
13	public utility?
14	MR. BAEZ: They do, yes.
15	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: And who is looking
16	to purchase it?
17	MR. BAEZ: Florida Power & Light.
18	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Mr. Chairman, I
19	think somewhere along the line, maybe we
20	ought to take a look at what's taking place
21	while they're doing that just to get an idea
22	of what kind of impact it will have
23	eventually, the end product, not necessarily
24	now, but down the road should this issue
25	come back up that we need to look and see

1	how that affects Vero Beach customers.
2	Thank you, Mr. Baez, I appreciate you
3	being here.
4	MR. BAEZ: My pleasure. I'll make sure
5	that we forward the docket numbers at least
6	so you all can follow it.
7	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
8	Mr. Hazouri.
9	Mr. Becton.
10	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Thank you.
11	Through the Chair, Mr. Baez, when it
12	comes to expanding services, does the PSC
13	frown upon the idea of current ratepayers
14	paying part of that cost of expansion?
15	MR. BAEZ: Define expanding services.
16	I'm sorry.
17	COUNCILMAN BECTON: People who don't
18	have electricity, adding that the utility
19	going in and installing electric lines or
20	water and sewer or anything to expand their
21	services.
22	MR. BAEZ: I see what you mean now.
23	That's part of the utility's planning
24	function over which the Commission has
25	oversight, let's start with that. Now, when

1	you describe an expansion of service in your
2	example and I'll take it a step further,
3	the planning function of a utility is a
4	day-to-day function, all right. It's
5	something that's folded into their business
6	plan, it's part of their operations. So
7	they are and I would assume I've never
8	worked for a utility, but I would assume
9	there are folks back in a room somewhere
10	saying, I know that there is going to be
11	growth in this area, we need to provide for
12	that growth. I would refer you back to
13	their obligation to serve.
14	So the person who lives 30 miles away
15	from the nearest access to the system, if
16	they pick up the phone and they dial the
17	service provider and say, hey, I need
18	electric service, the service provider is
19	obligated to extend facilities to that
20	customer. I say that subject to certain
21	conditions, for example, right.
22	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Well, let me
23	interject here so you can, with your answer,
24	perhaps, understand where I'm coming from.

MR. BAEZ: Please, yes.

Ţ	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Having sat down with
2	a private one of the PSC's companies that
3	you regulate and talked about expansion of
4	services, and when it come to looking at the
5	cost of expanding those services, the
6	conclusion of that utility was PSC would not
7	allow us to do this, and it was to the point
8	of the current ratepayers who have that
9	service now not paying for the cost of the
10	infrastructure to go to the new service
11	area. Why would somebody why would
12	somebody make that comment in your is
13	there anything that we're missing here or
14	perhaps they were just wrong?
15	MR. BAEZ: I want to try and understand
16	the example you just described. You're
17	describing an example where the existing
18	customers are not bearing the cost of the
19	expansion into the new territory, did I get
20	it right?
21	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Well, I'm saying, if
22	I have a company and I'm going out looking
23	for new customers, there is marketing, there
24	is you know, there is capital cost when I
25	get those new customers, right, and

obviously the revenue I take in from my existing customers is helping fund that through gross profit and those types of things, right, retained earnings. But the conversation was more the PSC would not allow us to do that. Your name was specifically mentioned as regulating that activity for which would frown upon costs being incurred by the current ratepayers to do that expansion.

2.2

MR. BAEZ: I think -- I'm sorry,

Councilman Becton. I think I need to know a little bit more specifically the facts of the expansion. And the reason I answer it that way, excuse me, is this: There are clearly expansions that are subject to their obligation to serve that fall within the operations of the company. Now, we'll lapse back into that, talk about is the financial burden of a company too much that they're placed in a financial disadvantage, i.e., underearning, right, where they would have the right to say, hey, we don't have enough -- these are our operations, this expansion is part of our operation s, for

1 example, but it places us in an
2 underearnings position.

2.2

The other example -- barring that, then their normal course of business, which includes extension of service within their territory or -- right, they ought to be able to afford it. And as long as they're affording it, i.e., they're still earning within their range, they're not taking on financial obligations or expenses that place them at a financial disadvantage relative to their earnings. Then, again, without knowing more of the specific situation, that would be the normal course of business for the utility.

Now, I don't know the details and what would make a utility representative say that the Commission would never allow that. I have trouble with that, because the case hasn't been made, the petition hasn't been provided and the folks that are voting are not here. I don't have a vote on the Commission, so it's not a question of whether I will allow it or not, right. That's a policy decision, or that's a

1	decision that a commission would make and
2	that the utility in question in your example
3	would have the opportunity to access the
4	process and ask for recovery of those
5	expenses. And they would make their case
6	and then the Commission would render a
7	decision. I think it's too facile a
8	statement to be making to say they would
9	never allow it. Again, I say all of this
10	without knowing any of the facts.
11	COUNCILMAN BECTON: My question, I
12	think, is the simple part of my question
13	was, you got a neighborhood that doesn't
14	have whatever service we are talking about,
15	it's zero, but it has access to that
16	service, let's say, right out in front of
17	that neighborhood, so in order to supply
18	that neighborhood that service, it just
19	needs to be teed off and built inside that
20	neighborhood. So does that utility have to
21	bring to the PSC that project in any form or
22	fashion to be approved?
23	MR. BAEZ: Not in the way you've
24	described it, not to my knowledge, no.
25	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. So I mean,

1 that's what I would hope your answer would 2 be, that it really just boils down to, does 3 it work within your retained earnings, are you staying within your profit margin. 4 5 MR. BAEZ: There's any number of metrics 6 that keep them in their lane. And as long 7 as they're in their lane, again, without 8 more detail -- now, there may be specifics 9 even to your example that you and I both 10 don't know as to what drove that response. 11 So not trying to defend the utility in any 12 way, again, I don't know the specifics about 13 it. 14 COUNCILMAN BECTON: So I mean, that's 15 good. I mean, that's what I would kind of 16 expect under a normal -- can you just -- I 17 was reading through your presentation, if 18 you've answered this, maybe just quickly 19 give me the bullet points again. You 20 mentioned that the PSC has limited oversight 21 of public munies. Can you bullet point 2.2 exactly what those limited oversights are? 23 MR. BAEZ: For example, if a municipal 24 utility has plans on building a power plant. 25 COUNCILMAN BECTON: Has plans what?

1	MR. BAEZ: Has plans on building its own
2	generation of a certain size, they would be
3	subject to siting statute. And as part of
4	the siting statute I think it's 403, I
5	may be misquoting the statute. But that
6	siting statute requires the utility to prove
7	the need for that asset. That hearing and
8	that determination of need, as a technical
9	name of the proceeding, would come before
10	the Commission. And that doesn't matter, as
11	long as the plant is of a certain size where
12	it falls within the statute, it doesn't
13	matter if you're a municipal or you're an
14	investor-owned utility, you have to prove
15	the need for that asset before the
16	Commission.
17	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. Is that the
18	only thing?
19	MR. BAEZ: They also have to file if
20	you're a generation-owning utility, you have
21	to file what's called a 10-year site plan.
22	And I think JEA's you all may be familiar
23	with the term. And that really is a look
24	into the future to better assess what the

overall demands of the state are and how the

1	separate utilities are planning on
2	addressing their own individual demands
3	within that
4	COUNCILMAN BECTON: So that's the JEA
5	10-year plan we hear about, it's nothing but
6	a forecast of revenue?
7	MR. BAEZ: Yes. It is a forecast. It's
8	not so much revenue based, but it's more
9	demand based. It's a more technical-based
10	analysis. The Commission reviews that in
11	the overall. And while they don't make a
12	legal determination, but they will make a
13	determination of sufficiency for planning
14	purposes.
15	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. Anything
16	else?
17	MR. BAEZ: There are safety inspections,
18	safety of facilities, gas and electric,
19	things of that nature. And also we had
20	mentioned before the issue of discriminatory
21	rates. So there is a concept called rate
22	structure. Rate structure is the
23	relationship between the classes of
24	customers. And the easiest one is
25	residential versus commercial, right. I

1	used it before. So a discriminatory rate
2	would be in two places, you'd either have
3	different residential customers getting
4	different rates unjustifiably. That's a
5	case of discriminatory ratemaking. And then
6	you have the whole cross subsidy issue, so
7	that's rate structure. So if you're if
8	your residents are bearing the cost burdens
9	of your businesses, of your commercial
10	customers, for example.
11	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. So not the
12	rate itself, but just the discriminatory
13	practice of, like you said, maybe offering
14	discounts within their rate to certain
15	categories?
16	MR. BAEZ: Right, because those
17	prohibitions are something that apply to all
18	utilities, municipal and private owned.
19	COUNCILMAN BECTON: So you would say
20	those are probably the big four I mean,
21	probably 90 percent or majority of what you
22	do?
23	MR. BAEZ: Relative to the municipal
24	utilities, yes.
25	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. Thank you,

1	Mr. Chair.
2	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Court Reporter,
3	can you hang in there for another 10, 15
4	minutes and take a recess. Okay. Thank
5	you.
6	Councilwoman Boyer.
7	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: Thank you.
8	Through the Chair, thank you for being
9	here, Mr. Baez. I'm going to focus mostly
LO	on the 10-year site plan and kind of
11	industry rate projections. But I did want
12	to go back to Councilman Becton's line of
13	questioning, because we've all heard this
L 4	statement. But I found it very interesting
15	when you were talking about the tension
L 6	between when you've created a monopoly and
L7	someone has an assigned territory, the
18	obligation to serve that you look at.
L 9	And so that if I'm hearing what you're
20	saying in response to Councilman Becton, is
21	that within the bounds of financial prudence
22	and the existing rate structure, if a
23	utility has the capacity to extend service
24	within the area to which they've been given

monopoly rights, it is part of their

1	obligation to serve the customers in that
2	area. And that's kind of how you look at it
3	when you're weighing that.
4	MR. BAEZ: Generally that's accurate,
5	yes. Sure.
6	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: Okay. So the
7	question I want to focus on, we haven't
8	talked about it much here, but we have in
9	our meetings, the 10-year site plan
10	forecast. And the 10-year site plan filed
11	by JEA has a growth trajectory that, in
12	fact, is accelerating. And I'm curious in
13	the Public Service Commission's review of
14	these if that is out of the ordinary for the
15	utility 10-year site plans that you're
16	seeing filed or whether they're all kind of
17	similarly protecting a growth rate. What
18	are you seeing as consumption or demand
19	future based on those statewide, not just
20	JEA's? I'm not looking at megawatts; I'm
21	looking at trend lines.
22	MR. BAEZ: Understood, trend lines. I
23	can't speak with specificity as to what
24	we're seeing, I don't know if Mark one to
25	one and a half as an overall, seems to be

1	about one percent growth. Now, clearly
2	there are utilities that may be a little
3	higher than that because they're projecting
4	growth and others that aren't. But one to
5	one and a half seems to be, one to one and a
6	half.
7	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: One to one and a
8	half percent a year seems to be the more
9	tradition accepted right now, not
10	necessarily historical, but accepted right
11	now.
12	MR. BAEZ: It's the current accepted.
13	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: So in your what
14	I've heard from the JEA presentations on
15	this is that the purpose of the site plan
16	from your perspective is to ensure that
17	there is sufficient power within the grid
18	collectively to be able to serve the
19	residents of the state.
20	MR. BAEZ: That's correct.
21	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: And that being the
22	case, they're saying that this is a
23	conservative projection in the sense that it
24	overly estimates the demands so to make sure
25	that there is plenty of power available as

opposed to striving for an accurate

projection. Do you think the -- what are

the criteria designed to produce? What are

you hoping to get?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. BAEZ: I think I understand your question. And I think we need to have a common understanding of what that 10-year site plan represents. And it is, in fact, a planning document. It does not commit -you know, it doesn't commit resources officially and neither does it confer approval of any, you know, bricks and mortar, right. Because all of that, even as they -- even as any utility, JEA included, can travel along with its planning document and continue assuming whatever assumptions went into that planning document, the day comes when they say, all right, now the demand, the growth is here, whatever it is, we were up, we were down, we were on, we were off, but we need to build an asset.

Now we're into a more formal territory, where in response, I believe, to Councilman Becton's, one of his questions, they have to prove the need under the siting statute.

1	And now is when the real business starts,
2	you know, so the numbers gotta be there.
3	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: And I understand
4	that about constructing new generation.
5	What I'm really trying to get is when you
6	create questions and criteria and a
7	calculation methods for development of the
8	site plan, are you trying to get at what you
9	believe is a realistic estimate or are you
LO	trying to get at something that you think
11	will provide an extra 20 percent or 40
12	percent more power than we really need in
13	the state?
L 4	MR. BAEZ: From the utility's
15	standpoint, you're asking?
16	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: Yes.
L7	MR. BAEZ: I think that they are having
18	to use at the endgame, they're having to
L 9	use assumptions that, I think, are as close
20	to justifiable and reasonable as possible.
21	There is no profit to be gained by
22	overestimating growth just so that a
23	planning document can show more generation
24	than necessary knowing full well that, at
25	the end of the game, there is going to be an

accounting. Those assumptions are going to get put to the test officially by people who have adverse interests. So the planning document is either going to be valued for its realistic approach and its accuracy or it's just so much paper. And I can't speak to which one it is or if it's any one of those, to be frank. But hopefully, and I believe, you know, JEA is, as well as the rest of -- as well as the rest of the utilities that are putting into this overall planning document, do take it seriously. mean, because people like you and others will ask the questions about it. they'll put those assumptions to the test in some way.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: So the reason I'm following up with this line of questioning in particular is we've been given two very different trajectories: One that is the 10-year site plan that's filed with you that shows the demand for service, the need for additional capacity, going upward; and another one that when you're projecting potential revenue of the utility, the

1	challenges the utility faces shows the
2	demand for service and the need for
3	additional kilowatts going down. So there
4	is this wide gap between the two. So the
5	explanation has been that the criteria used
6	by the PSC require a calculation that is
7	much higher than realistic.
8	MR. BAEZ: Councilwoman, I wouldn't
9	characterize it as much higher than real.
10	And if I'm hearing your comment, I think
11	you're referring to all utilities plan, I
12	think it's, 20 percent above, because
13	that's I always get them confused, it's a
14	reserve margin, right, yes, a reserve
15	margin. So there is a 20-percent cushion
16	built into any moment, and that number
17	fluctuates over years because of lag and
18	stuff. Is that what you're referring to or
19	it is something
20	COUNCILWOMAN BOYER: No, sir, but thank
21	you for your response.
22	MR. BAEZ: Then to that I'd say I can't
23	speak to the discrepancy in the numbers.
24	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: All right. Thank
25	you, Ms. Boyer.

1	Councilman Anderson, only if it's a good
2	question, though.
3	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: Well, all my
4	questions are good questions.
5	COUNCILMAN LOVE: Let's vote on that.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: The other one was
7	pretty good.
8	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: Well, I'll try to
9	be quick because I know we're trying to take
10	a break.
11	So you talked about creating a rate of
12	return. And I'm wondering do you consider
13	prospective calculations, so projections
14	rather than actual results, is that included
15	in that decision process?
16	MR. BAEZ: Councilman, I'm not sure I
17	understand your question.
18	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: So in coming up
19	with a rate of return, if an organization
20	comes to you and says, listen, we're going
21	to do, A, B and C, here is our pro formas,
22	here is our projections, do you just test
23	that to make sure they're still within the
24	band of health, whatever you call that, the
25	band or is it a

MR. BAEZ: I understand. The range. I think you're describing a moment in time beyond the moment where -- I don't think they have that kind of relation. The two things don't have the relationship with each other. I'll take part of it first. Yes, a company will file what they call projected (inaudible), so it includes their pro forma, we know we're going to build this in and we know this is coming, et cetera, et cetera, so that our rate base is going to look -- all assumptions necessary, right, the rate base is going to look like X. Then we get into the discussion.

2.2

The discussion of the rate of return is a different one, it's a different and discreet one based on all these other things that we've been discussing, because that rate base number is scrubbed, right, and it's vetted and the number becomes what it becomes addressing those projects. But they don't necessarily bear into the conversation of what the appropriate rate of return that analysis ought to be. That's a conversation that takes place at a -- at a separate

1	point, right. We're in a separate
2	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: So for example,
3	when the analysis is being done, you're
4	not the analysis doesn't say, based on
5	this trajectory, you're going to need to
6	increase or decrease rates if you continue
7	to invest?
8	MR. BAEZ: That's a different question.
9	Because that that speaks to are you able
10	to absorb are you able to absorb all of
11	these pro forma projects within the revenues
12	that are already authorized for it with the
13	status quo. That's a different question.
14	And I would submit to you that, since we're
15	talking about the context of a rate
16	increase, that question has already been
17	answered. The utility has already said
18	there is no way that we can absorb all this
19	capital investment at our current rates.
20	And that's why they're making their filings
21	and asking their
22	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: So to Mr. Dennis'
23	point, that's exactly right. I think that
24	was helpful. So you talked about regulating
25	if JEA, for example, wanted to build a new

1	plant. Does that also go to solar as well
2	if they wanted to produce a solar-generating
3	plant, and electrical generating
4	MR. BAEZ: Above a certain 75
5	megawatts, above 75 megawatts it puts you
6	into the siting statute.
7	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: But not
8	jurisdiction, of course, over residential,
9	so if somebody wants to put a solar on
10	MR. BAEZ: It's a different subject,
11	yes.
12	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: Part of our power
13	comes from Georgia. We've had a lot of
14	discussion about that lately. And when
15	you're looking at the system itself, and
16	making sure that we have the appropriate
17	capacity, do you include those sources or
18	does it have to be just generated within the
19	state of Florida?
20	MR. BAEZ: I think all imports are
21	included, the import capacity, imported
22	capacity, if you will. So they're treated,
23	in essence, as a power plant, if you will,
24	producing energy for the benefit of
25	the ratepayer.

1	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: So if the from
2	your standpoint, if an authority entered
3	into an agreement to purchase power from
4	some other location, you believe that, from
5	a fiduciary standpoint, you know, that
6	you're looking to make sure the grid is
7	stable, that that purchase agreement is
8	inclusive, it's included in that
9	calculation?
10	MR. BAEZ: It is, yes.
11	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: It is.
12	MR. BAEZ: And that's as a raw number.
13	It's a raw number and we're not looking
14	behind we're not looking behind to the
15	numbers of the agreement and so forth
16	necessarily, not at that stage. And again,
17	depending on who the entities are, right,
18	they would have to be regulated, at least
19	one of them has to be. But as a raw number
20	to count towards how much capacity is
21	available to meet the demand at a certain
22	point in time for the whole of the state, it
23	does get countered.
24	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: And technically
25	that works? I mean, you can get power from

1	Georgia to Orlando if you need to get it
2	there?
3	MR. BAEZ: I'm told that it works, sir,
4	yes. I'm not an engineer.
5	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: I know, but I see
6	one out there.
7	I think that's it oh, one more quick
8	question, John decommissioning expenses,
9	can they be used to argue for a rate
10	increase?
11	MR. BAEZ: Subject to Mark tackling me,
12	yes. The answer is yes. It's an expense
13	much the same as any other prudent expense.
14	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chairman.
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
17	Mr. Anderson.
18	Mr. Baez or Mr. Futrell, I'm assuming
19	you have other folks with you here.
20	MR. BAEZ: We have our general counsel,
21	Keith Hetrick, who so far hasn't had to
22	correct me on any matters legally that I may
23	have said; and Adam Potts, our legislative
24	liaison, is here also.
25	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So four of you

1	here?
2	MR. BAEZ: Just us four. And I'm the
3	only one that's being allowed to speak
4	today, generally.
5	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I just wanted to
6	make sure everybody got introduced. I have
7	one final question, so if you will, be
8	quick. And then we will take a recess for
9	the court reporter.
10	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Thank you,
11	Mr. Chairman.
12	Through the Chair to Mr. Baez, if and
13	we've talked about it before, that
14	ratepayers within a particular utility's
15	footprint all pay the same rate, how might a
16	legislative body impose a rate freeze?
17	MR. BAEZ: And by legislative body, you
18	mean a legislative body that oversees the
19	operation of a utility?
20	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I think what she
21	means is we had a report done by a company
22	called PFM. I can't remember exactly what
23	that stands for, something management. And
24	they were talking to us about things we
25	might want to look at if we were to sell our

1	utility. And one of the variables in there
2	was that we could ask the potential buyer to
3	cap rates or restrict rates to a specific
4	inflation over some prescribed time period.
5	Now, they also suggested that might have an
6	adverse impact on the value of the utility,
7	but I think what Ms. Brosche is asking is
8	how would that work since everybody is
9	paying a uniform rate.
10	MR. BAEZ: My initial answer is I don't
11	know that it would, but let's
12	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Finally, you get
13	the last question of the day.
14	MR. HETRICK: You have to be able to
15	allow compensatory rates; otherwise, it
16	would be considered a take, and I don't
17	believe you could ever a legislative body
18	could impose a freeze under any
19	circumstance.
20	MR. BAEZ: I would add that places a
21	utility in a noncompensatory position.
22	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Interesting. I
23	had something else written down for the
24	topic of the day or the point of the day,
25	but that may have surpassed it. What I had

1	written down was and I hope whoever came
2	up with this has a parking space somewhere
3	close to the front door of (inaudible), I've
4	never been there, but up until that point,
5	sympathetic gradualism was something that I
6	found to be truly fascinating.
7	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: Me too.
8	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I'm not sure if
9	the marketing department came up with that
10	or who came up with that, but that is
11	outstanding.
12	MR. BAEZ: I'll be glad to pass on the
13	name to you in private, sir.
14	COUNCILMAN HAZOURI: I like that term,
15	though.
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Mr. Baez, we
17	certainly appreciate you all coming over.
18	Are you staying over tonight?
19	MR. BAEZ: Unfortunately, no. There is
20	a
21	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I thought you
22	were staying for the jazz festival.
23	I've anticipated this presentation. I
24	found it to be very, very informative.
25	Thank you so much for making the trip. I

think everybody has gotten a lot out of it. 1 2 We may have some follow-up questions that we 3 may send you just in writing if you all would be kind enough to answer those. 4 5 I want to again reiterate that my assistant has been working with Mr. Futrell 6 7 and he's been extremely cooperative over the 8 past several weeks. He probably should get 9 a couple days off for facilitating. 10 MR. BAEZ: I keep telling him that. 11 CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: But everything 12 has been topnotch. And I really appreciate you all making the journey and informing us, 13 14 because this is something that we're just 15 not too familiar with. Duval County doesn't 16 have any private utilities that are left 17 that are regulated by the PSC, so this is 18 all kind of foreign to us, so I appreciate 19 it very much. 20 MR. BAEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, we 21 do appreciate the opportunity. We're at 2.2 your service for any questions after that 23 you may have in the days to come. 2.4 CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: We may have a few

questions.

1	We are going to take a quick, 7-minute
2	recess for the court reporter. Is that
3	adequate or do you need 10? Seven it is and
4	we'll reconvene at 5 minutes after 4:00. So
5	we stand at recess.
6	(Brief recess.)
7	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: If I can have
8	everyone return to their seats, please, we
9	will reconvene the Special Committee on the
10	Future of JEA. Thanks to PSC folks that
11	made the trip from Tallahassee. We
12	appreciate the presentation.
13	The next item on our agenda for today
14	Mr. Becton, would you like to go on record?
15	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Through the Chair, I
16	just had a conversation with Mr. Baez to
17	clarify the avenue of questions that I was
18	going down. There is a big distinction that
19	needs to be made, if you would let me bring
20	him back up and ask him, because I really do
21	think it's important for our analysis of the
22	future of JEA.
23	Thank you for coming back up. Certainly
24	we just chatted about this, because I wanted
25	to clarify because I didn't really want to

1	get into the specific utility that I was
2	referring to. And I'll just clump them
3	together
4	MR. BAEZ: We don't have to name names.
5	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Right. We don't
6	have to name names. But you did make a big
7	distinction as to when I mentioned gas,
8	natural gas, in terms of my question. And
9	I'll go back and, really, re-ask it, you
10	know, when if you were to expand natural
11	gas in the county, from PSC's perspective,
12	how would you I guess would how is
13	that managed in
14	MR. BAEZ: I think your question makes a
15	little more sense to me now that you
16	clarified. And I think that the distinction
17	is this: The concept of an obligation to
18	serve, I don't believe that question has
19	been answered, honestly, one way or another
20	for a gas.
21	But the and so the way gas utilities
22	and I think in a similar sense water
23	utilities apportion their cost or allocate
24	their cost is different than an electric
25	utility. So you have things like connection

1	charges, contributions and terms and
2	concepts like that that address the lack of
3	an obligation to serve. So that allows ther
4	to say, you want me to go there, well, this
5	is what it's going to cost for you to go
6	there and you owe me that. They don't
7	spread those specific costs under most
8	circumstances they don't spread those
9	specific costs to the general ratepayers.
10	There is a difference.
11	COUNCILMAN BECTON: So you're kind of
12	implying it's much more difficult in the
13	current environment based on that
14	description to expand natural gas into
15	unserved areas.
16	MR. BAEZ: I think that's a fair
17	statement, yes.
18	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. So would that
19	same obstacle exist if that was under a
20	private municipal?
21	MR. BAEZ: Councilman, I'm loathe to
22	I don't know.
23	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Well, I go back to
24	you said I go back to the PSC's, the
25	bullet points you said limited oversight.

So I didn't hear any restrictions as to expansion of services whether it was natural gas, electric, water or sewer, but correct me if I'm -- but since we are saying natural gas needs to have a different --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Well, let's take that and MR. BAEZ: walk your example out a little farther. Ι think -- I'm pretty safe in saying that as we don't have jurisdiction over the rates, we neither would we have jurisdiction over how you -- how you implement your connection of policy, for example, whether you say, you know what, we'll connect anywhere any time because we're a municipal, right, and that's our policy. And you have the ability to do it again subject to some limitations we won't get into, but generally speaking, you have the ability and the accountability that flows along with it of doing it in the way that you see fit, all right, in the same way you set the number that you charge.

So you too can say we're going to assign the connection -- the cost of connection for any customer to that particular customer and let that be your policy or you can do it,

we're not going to charge anything, we're going to spread it out and absorb it across the general -- that's not a question that we answer.

2.2

COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. So just going back to my -- just clarifying my previous question. So if an expansion of service with a private utility of natural gas, would they have to bring that project to the PSC to get approved in terms of that cost being within their -- their cost structure.

MR. BAEZ: Well, in that context I would answer that that decision is limited only to those conditions that I have expressed to Councilman Anderson. If it's something they can absorb within their current revenue, then that's a decision that they make. But I don't believe that -- and I also believe that that can get accommodated in a future phase if they're, in fact, underearning, I guess, those are things they have to prove up.

And the Commission will consider, well, okay, this expansion, which puts you in an underearnings position, which is the reason,

1	the legal justification for coming in and
2	asking for a rate increase, that's what
3	we're doing, because it's proven for you to
4	expand. Does that make
5	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Well, I'll just end
6	by asking you this one last question, and if
7	I had to clarify for the Committee here,
8	what is the difference between what you were
9	trying to explain to me, the natural gas
10	example and the electric example?
11	MR. BAEZ: The difference is that, to my
12	knowledge, the question of gas utility
13	having an obligation to serve, as I
14	explained electric utilities, certainly
15	investor-owned electric utilities do in
16	Florida, that specific question hasn't been
17	answered, to my knowledge. And so you can
18	neither claim an obligation to serve or deny
19	that you have obligation to serve. It's
20	sort of a gray area at this time because the
21	question hasn't been asked.
22	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. Thank you
23	very much. You did an excellent job
24	clarifying.

CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Moving on to --

1	thank you again.
2	Moving on to item four, we have a
3	presentation by the Public Utility Research
4	Center.
5	Sherry Magill is here. Sherry, I'm not
6	sure if you're doing the presentation.
7	We also have Professor Ted Kury from
8	University of Florida.
9	DR. MAGILL: Thank you very much,
10	Mr. Chairman. And thank you for the
11	invitation. I will be very brief. I'm
12	going to explain quickly what the Jessie
13	Ball duPont fund is doing and what our
14	expectation is. And then Dr. Ted Kury, who
15	is with the Public Utility Research Center
16	from the University of Florida will speak
17	briefly about the scope of the study, what
18	they hope to learn and when they expect to
19	finish this.
20	So you all may remember that I had
21	approached Mr. Crescimbeni about the Jessie
22	Ball duPont fund's offer to be helpful to
23	the task force if we could be. We spoke
24	about that in public meeting with President

Brosche and Mr. Crescimbeni. And where we

came out is we decided to commission our own independent study for our own purposes and for public purposes to help inform your discretion and the people's discretion.

2.2

The scope of questions, quite honestly, came from those conversations and then we added some of our own questions based on conversations we had with others.

So with that, thank you. I'm going to turn it over to Dr. Kury.

DR. KURY: Thank you.

And again, thank you for the invitation today. So why is a research center interested in a question like this? It's really a fascinating question. I realize that your focus is primarily on the utility business in Jacksonville, but the reality is that most people in the world are served by, essentially, state-owned utilities. We call them unies here in the United States, but most places in the world they're known as state-owned enterprises. And idea of the value of a state-owned enterprise where you have significant overlap between the people who own the system and the people who are

customers of the system, make it not quite
unique in the world of industrial
organization, but pretty special.

2.2

The analogy that I like to think about is imagine that you own a restaurant down on San Jose, okay. And it's your restaurant, so you eat there every breakfast, every lunch, every dinner, your family eats there, your friends eat there. But one day you decide, I'm sick of being in the restaurant business and you decide to sell your restaurant. And you go out, you put a couple of ads in the trade paper, you bring some people in, somebody makes an offer and you look at them and you say, yeah, that offer makes a lot of sense to me, you sell them your restaurant.

The day that transaction closes, if that new owner doesn't maintain the property and it starts to fall apart, you don't care. If that new owner doesn't put the same care into the quality of food or the quality of service, you don't care. If that new owner takes all the prices and increases them by 20 percent, you don't care. And why don't

you care? Because you don't have to eat there anymore. But what if you did? What if you still had to eat every breakfast, every lunch, every dinner, you, your family, your friends, every meal for the rest of your life? Now you care. You care about all those other things -- was the price important? Sure, it was. But all those other things were important too.

2.2

So you have the scope, you have the individual questions that are being addressed. And I'm certainly happy to answer any questions on that. But the basic idea behind this is looking at this concept of in a utility where there is significant overlap between the people who own it and the people who it serves, what does value really mean.

And so every one of the different topics within that scope -- and as I said any questions you have, I'm more than happy to address specifics. I'm going to go topic by topic. But every one of them, it's that idea behind it, what does value mean in this particular context. And when the University

1	was first contacted by the duPont
2	foundation, I was curious. I thought this
3	is an important question for a lot of people
4	in this world. And I started doing some
5	literature searches, rudimentary ones at
6	first and I haven't found anybody that's
7	really addressed the question in quite this
8	way. And so we're really looking forward to
9	the opportunity. We anticipate a completion
10	date of early November for the study. And
11	as I said, from our standpoint, it's a
12	fascinating topic. And our hope is that it
13	is an interesting one for you folks, for
14	JEA, for the City of Jacksonville going
15	forward.
16	At this point, any questions you have,
17	I'm more than happy to address.
18	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: How will the
19	study unfold in terms of is that something
20	that you're personally involved with or do
21	you use any grad student research? Who
22	actually is doing all the homework, so to
23	speak?
24	DR. KURY: The project team is
25	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: The mechanics of

1 the actual assembly of the study.

2.2

2.4

DR. KURY: The core project team is comprised of four folks: Myself, I'm the Director of Energy Studies at the Public Utility Research Center, so I will be the analytical lead on the study. Also on the team is the Director of the Center, Dr. Mark Jamison. And then we brought in two folks from outside the Center, David Richardson and Cindy Miller. And then we're also going to have a law student that will be helping with some of the legal research on that end.

So the basic responsibilities, I'm the analytical lead. Mark is providing overall direction. Cindy was at the Public Service Commission for a number of years. And she's doing a lot of the legislative regulatory research and analysis. And then David Richardson was -- he's formerly of GRU, he retired as the CFO there, but he was heavily involved in their water/wastewater divisions.

So my expertise is primarily in energy.

I have some experience in water, but
primarily my expertise is in electricity and

1	natural gas. David is in water. Cindy is
2	doing legislative and regulatory. And then,
3	as I said, Mark Jamison is the Director of
4	the Center, so he's got oversight
5	responsibility.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Has your center
7	done anything close to what this project
8	looks like?
9	DR. KURY: Nobody has done anything
10	close to what this project looks like,
11	that's the interesting part about it. If
12	somebody had already done a study to look at
13	the value of a municipal utility, what value
14	means, quite frankly, I would give you that
15	study and we'd be done. So that's part of
16	what makes this exciting is that, you know,
17	we do a lot of work at PURC with
18	investor-owned utilities and municipal
19	utilities, regulators and operators, we run
20	a number of programs at the Center. Now we
21	work primarily with folks outside the United
22	States. We primarily work with folks in
23	Latin America, the Caribbean, Sub-Sahara,
24	South Asia, Pacific islands in the Pacific

25

Rim.

1	This general question of how does
2	utility performance, utility value, how does
3	that change with ownership structure or with
4	different types of organization structures
5	within it, you know, that's I mean,
6	that's the subject of most of our programs.
7	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Are you familiar
8	with the PFM report that was done by our
9	utility? You have a copy of that?
10	DR. KURY: I am, yes.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: I think you were
12	here when the Council President asked her
13	question at the end of the previous
14	presentation about an ability to cap rates
15	as they a piece of or condition of the
16	sale. Do you confer with the PSC's response
17	to that?
18	DR. KURY: Absolutely. I mean, I'm not
19	a lawyer; I'm an economist. But the statute
20	is clear that utilities have the right to
21	come in for rate increase. They have that
22	right. And, yeah, on legal matters, I'll
23	always defer to the folks over there.
24	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Speaking of
25	Council President Brosche, she's on the

1	queue for a question.
2	So, Ms. Brosche.
3	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Thank you,
4	Mr. Chairman.
5	Through the Chair to Dr. Kury, and
6	possibly Dr. Magill, first I want to say
7	through you, Dr. Magill, thank you very much
8	for your investment in this work and the
9	conversations to get to this point. And do
10	I understand correctly that you had a
11	meeting with JEA or that you had the
12	opportunity or someone had the opportunity
13	to meet with JEA?
14	DR. MAGILL: We met over lunch, Mary Lou
15	Page (ph), Dr. Kury, Cindy Miller and I met
16	with Melissa Dykes and Aaron Zahn over lunch
17	today. They came to my offices. We had a
18	nice give-and-take, we gave them a copy of
19	the scope. They were very complimentary of
20	our doing this and are willing more than
21	willing to work closely with Dr. Kury and
22	make sure that he has everything available
23	to him that he might wish. And they said
24	they thought the study questions align well
25	with some of the questions that they have

1	internally. So it was very positive, good
2	conversation.
3	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Great. And so
4	you'll, Dr. Kury, end up having a request
5	for information or needs for things from JEA
6	or are you doing that independently?
7	DR. KURY: When I wrote up the scope, I
8	actually hadn't contemplating I never
9	like to count on cooperation from anybody to
10	the extent you know, the scope outline
11	that we would be relying entirely on
12	publicly available information. But we do
13	anticipate filing or I don't want to be
14	intrusive. So we're at this point we're
15	anticipating filing a single data request to
16	JEA.
17	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: And they have
18	expressed their willingness to respond to
19	whatever question you have?
20	DR. KURY: Well, they've expressed a
21	willingness to cooperate the best they can.
22	I think you can't say anything. But I mean,
23	yes, they expressed a willingness to
24	cooperate, but certainly there are there
25	are always houndaries

1	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Great. Thank you so
2	much.
3	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
4	Ms. Brosche.
5	Councilman Anderson.
6	COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: Thank you,
7	Mr. Chair.
8	Through the Chair, welcome. And that
9	was fascinating, by the way, that was a
10	great analogy that you used.
11	And, Sherry, thank you for helping.
12	So I was intrigued by your point of
13	value, because that's really what you were
14	saying is in question, value. And of
15	course, value can be determined in many
16	different ways, you know. Certainly, from
17	our standpoint, we have at least some data
18	points, but clearly you believe there are
19	other value points. Would you do you
20	have some thoughts I know it's early for
21	you. But do you have some sort of framework
22	on how you might walk through that?
23	DR. KURY: Sure. Some of the other
24	things we're going to be addressing in the
25	study are things like quality of service,

1	you know. As Mr. Baez pointed out very
2	well, there is that balancing act between
3	reliability of service and cost. And so
4	looking at two utilities solely on the basis
5	of cost isn't always a valid comparison,
6	because there may be other factors that are
7	not being considered. You know, when we
8	make comparisons, we kind of hold in
9	economists have a saying, all else equal.
10	It's a really strong assumption. And we
11	make it implicitly in our heads when we're
12	comparing two things, but that's not always
13	a valid all else isn't necessarily equal.
14	Again, as Mr. Baez pointed out, every
15	utility has something distinct about them.
16	So what we're going to be trying to do
17	is we're going to be looking at some of the
18	things that may make JEA distinct. So for
19	example, not every utility in the state of
20	Florida has a district energy system, so
21	that's a component of value. You know, not
22	every electric utility also runs a
23	water/wastewater utility, that's part of the
24	value. You know, quality of service, access
25	to service, you know, other things that

the -- other things that the utility may do. The return to the general fund, you know, for example, that is -- that's a distinct value. And there is no -- I don't want to say every municipal utility in the state of Florida, but I'm pretty sure. Every municipal utility in the state of Florida returns something to the general fund, but there is no hard and fast rule that it's always X percent.

2.2

So those types of things are what -- you know, are the types of things that we're going to be looking at. So what is it that makes, you know, JEA distinct. And then in areas where we can make comparisons, what do those comparisons look like. But then we also want to take into account those idiosyncrasies.

COUNCILMAN ANDERSON: Thank you. That's perfect. And also, I believe, you sort of said it, but certainly value within the context of this community, so employment, community, development, those types of things are, you would say, I think, from what you just shared would be part of that

1	conversation as well; is that correct?
2	DR. KURY: Yes, absolutely.
3	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
4	Mr. Anderson.
5	Councilman Dennis.
6	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Through the Chair,
7	thank you so much for being here to share
8	with us. I think it's great information
9	about the value, the value of community,
10	value of the various goodwill assets.
11	But my question goes to the core. If
12	I'm an investor, I don't care about that. I
13	care about one thing, the bottom line. So
14	if you were advising, you know, an investor
15	with deep pockets, what would you look at as
16	the value? Is it how many customers we
17	have? How much electricity is being put
18	out? Because those considerations isn't
19	something that I really care about; it's the
20	bottom line. And so if you were advising
21	that type of group, what exactly would you
22	be advising we look at? I mean, I don't
23	care what's going on with
24	DR. KURY: Well, I guess, if I was
25	advising anybody, then I wouldn't be in my

current job, because advocacy is -- that's not what I do. That's not what the research center does. We don't take positions. What we're trying to do is we're trying to answer the general question of what is the value of the utility. What you're getting into speaks more to what is someone willing to pay for that utility. And quite frankly, that question is outside the scope of this study.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Sure. And I really appreciate that. But as I was sitting here and hearing the value, the goodwill value, you know, versus actually having brick and mortar and trucks and company lines and a power plant, to me it boils down to how many customers or how much territory is a private company. And so I was just curious, you know, what would be the thought process of someone looking at acquiring JEA, because I don't think the primary focus would be the goodwill. The primary focus would be, you know, how many community hours. The primary focus, in my opinion -- and I could be wrong, but the primary focus, how am I going to expand my footprint and what is going to be my ROI on my investment.

2.2

DR. KURY: Certainly, I can't speak for any potential purchaser. Basically, though, the value of the utility generally comes down to the value part of physical assets and then its value of going concern. And the Florida State Statutes is really clear on how they define going concern. It's basically, you know, how do you take those assets and turn them into business. And I know that sounds nebulous, but that's the state statutes for you. It's a relatively broad term. But again, I don't --

COUNCILMAN DENNIS: I don't mean to put you in a situation, but through the Chair, so is goodwill -- does the state statute look at goodwill as an asset?

DR. KURY: I don't know that the state statute looks at that specifically. I think that's more something that would be considered on the PSC level. But to be honest, I don't know. Certainly that idea will be part of the -- will be part of the study. I know that outside the United

1	States it's clear. Intangible assets are
2	not allowed under international financial
3	reporting standards. But here in the United
4	States we haven't adopted international
5	financial reporting standards. So lots of
6	utilities maintain intangible assets on
7	their books.
8	But whether an asset is allowed to be
9	recovered or not, as Mr. Baez pointed out,
10	that's you know, that's one of the things
11	that people are fighting over during a
12	review of base rates at the PSC. So I can't
13	give you an answer one way or another
14	because, quite frankly, as Mr. Baez pointed
15	out, we're always fighting over different
16	elements of what makes up this revenue
17	requirement. And to the best of my
18	knowledge, there isn't a universal treatment
19	of any item really.
20	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Right. So through
21	the Chair, so it's fair to say that that
22	intangible may be valued to me or to the
23	City, but it may not be of any value to
24	someone that's looking to acquire this.

DR. KURY: Value means different things

25

1	to different people.
2	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: All right. Thank
3	you.
4	DR. KURY: Sure.
5	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
6	Mr. Dennis.
7	Councilman Love.
8	COUNCILMAN LOVE: Thank you.
9	Through the Chair to Dr. Kury, thanks
10	for coming.
11	And, Dr. Magill, thank you again for
12	this. This is very interesting.
13	There are 13 topics, and you're going to
14	have all those done by November, your
15	homework?
16	DR. KURY: Yes, sir.
17	COUNCILMAN LOVE: What is your favorite
18	topic? Have you looked at those? Which
19	topic do you think will be the most
20	interesting to you?
21	DR. KURY: Well, as I said, they all
22	speak to they all speak to the that
23	value proposition. I am the the quality
24	of service benchmarking that we're looking
25	at that will utilize both statistical

methods as well as numerical methods. I'm not aware of, again, another study quite like that that's been done. And so it's going to be interesting to me to see how that -- you know, how that idea of comparing quality of service metrics pans out, because it's actually only -- while it seems like we should have been systematically collecting data on things like cumulative outages and outage frequency for years, the fact is we haven't been systematically collecting that data but for the last four years. You know, typically that data was collected by the state utility commissions for just a subset of their utilities. But within the last four years, the federal government has expanded their data collection efforts to include that type of information from all utilities. And I think that's going to be an interesting part of it. I also think the idea of taking a look at what -- the other types of services.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

at what -- the other types of services.

Again, you know, district energy is -- while it's a popular topic, it's not implemented as much, you know, in North America, say, as

1	it is in other parts of the world. And so I
2	think looking at that aspect of their
3	business is going to be it's going to be
4	very interesting. Again, it's interesting
5	to me to look at things in general that
6	other people haven't taken a look at.
7	COUNCILMAN LOVE: You're going to try to
8	be the JD Power for utilities, is that what
9	you
10	DR. KURY: They already use JD Power for
11	customer satisfaction and all. But I'm
12	looking here at more concrete customer
13	satisfaction is concrete, but more objective
14	metrics.
15	COUNCILMAN LOVE: Thank you so much.
16	Looking forward to it.
17	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank your,
18	Mr. Love.
19	I have no one else in the queue.
20	Professor Kury, thank you for coming.
21	Ms. Magill, thank you again.
22	Drive safely back to Gainesville are
23	you staying here for the jazz festival?
24	DR. KURY: I'm not. I have a family
25	obligation this evening.

1	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Are you going to
2	that restaurant to eat?
3	DR. KURY: No. My daughter has a
4	ceremony at school.
5	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Okay. I thought
6	you were going to that favorite restaurant
7	you ate breakfast, lunch and dinner at
8	before it got sold.
9	All right. Thank you again for being
10	here. We look forward to the report.
11	DR. KURY: Thank you.
12	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Next item on our
13	agenda is Ms. Brosche, I think this was
14	something that I added with regard to the
15	JEA Board action. Do you want to discuss
16	that?
17	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Yes. Thank you,
18	Mr. Chairman.
19	I know I received an email. I suspect
20	that we all received an email, because it's
21	by blind copy from Mr. Jordan Pope that
22	said: Council Members, added, Tuesday,
23	May 15th, 2018, the JEA Board approved the
24	following motion, absent a Board decision to
25	pursue, any activities tied to a

1	privatization effort would be put on hold.
2	And there was a video link and shared where
3	you can see that.
4	And my question was we've got we've
5	heard now from two independent bodies that
6	are looking to answer questions that we have
7	to conduct the study. And my question is
8	was the was providing information that
9	was requested going to be covered under this
10	Board action. And I would like to
11	understand from the Board or from JEA
12	whether or not they'll be responding to
13	requests for information as it relates to
14	this particular Board motion that was proved
15	on May 15.
16	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So your question
17	is being asked of whom?
18	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: To JEA, if they can
19	answer that today or if we need to ask
20	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Kilgo, I see
21	you sitting out there. Are those all JEA
22	people sitting out there with you? Do you
23	or any of them have the ability to address
24	this question?
25	MS. KILGO: Good afternoon. Nancy

1	Kilgo.
2	To the Chair, I think those are all JEA
3	people. I did turn around and look.
4	It is my understanding that we will
5	continue to provide information to all of
6	you that are doing investigations and
7	learning about JEA, but that JEA staff will
8	not pursue itself without Board action any
9	further information about privatization. I
10	will be happy to go back and clarify through
11	the Board or to the Board if you would like.
12	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: Through the Chair, I
13	would like that conversation, because I
14	wouldn't want to get two more weeks down the
15	road when somebody sends a request list and
16	then learns that, according to this motion,
17	that's not going to be provided. So I would
18	like to understand the answer.
19	MS. KILGO: Through the Chair, I will
20	get that answer, but we have not been given
21	any instruction except to be fully
22	cooperative with you.
23	PRESIDENT BROSCHE: That's great. Thank
24	you so much.
25	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Kilgo, you'll

1	handle that or do you want me to talk to
2	Jordan, who is, I think, standing in line
3	for Space Mountain? But I'm sure he's
4	watching.
5	MS. KILGO: I will do that for him.
6	Thank you.
7	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you very
8	much.
9	Thank you, Ms. Brosche.
10	Public comment period. I have two
11	speaker cards, David Bruderly and Dwight
12	Brisbane, make your way forward, please.
13	Mr. Dennis, did you have something?
14	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Through the Chair,
15	before, I guess, the public comment, I would
16	like also, I heard the Board took action
17	on compensation, so I would like a little
18	more in depth, you know, along with JEA's
19	other questions that they're bringing back
20	to I would like to get in the weeds on
21	the compensation, how the comparison and
22	things like that and how they derive the
23	compensation.
24	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: The compensation
25	for the CEO and Ms. Dykes?

1	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Ms. Kilgo, did
3	you get that? All right. Thank you.
4	Thank you, Mr. Dennis.
5	Mr. Bruderly.
6	MR. BRUDERLY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
7	My name is David Bruderly.
8	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Bruderly, I'm
9	sorry. I Bruderly mispronounced that.
10	MS. KILGO: I am a semiretired
11	self-unemployed professional engineer who
12	spent my entire 50-some-year career in the
13	energy sector in one way, form or the other.
14	And Mark Futrell and Ted's presence here
15	reminded me that I've been tilling at
16	windmills and advocating sustainable energy
17	infrastructure and policies in Florida since
18	1990. Prior to that I helped Florida Power
19	& Light and Florida Power Corporation and
20	TECO siting studies for electric power
21	generating facilities, the U.S. Navy as
22	well, using nuclear coal, natural gas,
23	biomass, et cetera. So I've lived in this
24	industry my whole life.

In 1990 I came to the realization, as a

25

consultant back in those days, that the energy industry was going to change considerably. We just happened to be going into a war in 1990 for oil at that time. And I recognized that the technology was coming down the pipeline for 21st Century transportation infrastructure that was going to be electric motor driven.

2.2

And I started -- I transitioned my
business into being a small business
entrepreneur trying to figure out how do I
make a living promoting electric vehicles,
natural gas, motor fuels, nonpetroleum motor
fuels, bio fuels, renewable energy, all of
those things that have been so controversial
in the headlines recently.

And I have been working -- Governor

Chiles had Sustainable Commission for South

Florida; Governor Bush had the (inaudible)

Advisory Board; Governor Crist had the

Florida Energy Commission. And I spent

many, many days testifying in front of all

of these bodies working within the framework

of what was now today called synthetic

gradualism about the role of the electric

and gas utilities in the state to serve the public interest.

2.2

What we heard today was that you guys, the bottom line, you had the ability and the authority to do pretty much what you want with respect to JEA's business plan. You had to approve it, they have to come up with it. But it can be much more sustainable than what it is today. And it can be built so we can transition our utility, which is based on 19th Century technologies, large centrally powered power plants. Thomas Edison and Tesla invented the grid. And transitioning to a 21st Century technology-based grid. In some respects analogous to what we've seen with the Internet.

And this is happening. It's going to happen whether we want it to or not just because technology does move forward. And the rest of the world is moving forward in many respects much more aggressively than we are.

Now, I missed your privatization debate because I left the country on December 15th

1	and I will simply tell I'm out of time,
2	right?
3	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: You can wrap up,
4	but, yes, you are out of time.
5	MS. KILGO: When I was in Shanghai,
6	every motor scooter in Shanghai, 50 years
7	ago they were bicycles, today they're all
8	battery electric.
9	The point is JEA has an economic
10	development opportunity. And I think we
11	have an interim CEO, Aaron Zahn, who, when
12	John Delaney shared the new Mayor's Economic
13	Advisory Committee, proposed that
14	sustainability metrics be used to guide the
15	economic development of this community.
16	That recommendation did not leave that
17	committee. But I was there. I saw what he
18	proposed. I talked with him afterwards.
19	And I would encourage all of you to
20	strongly support Aaron Zahn to empower JEA
21	staff to work with Ted and PURC I've
22	known Ted and David Richardson for many,
23	many years to incorporate sustainability
24	metrics into this analysis that they're
25	doing, and JEA work in partnership with this

1	group to basically help us transition to a
2	21st Century economy so the new folks can
3	learn what you need to know to make an
4	informed decision. And that's not going to
5	be easy, that's a tough job. And
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: We appreciate
7	that. I do have a question. Mr. Bowman has
8	a question for you.
9	Councilman Bowman.
10	MR. BOWMAN: Thank you.
11	Through the Chair, you may remember that
12	about four years ago you and I talked about
13	hydrogen fuel cells.
14	MR. BRUDERLY: I do.
15	COUNCILMAN BOWMAN: I just want to say
16	did you know that the Amazon facility out at
17	Cecil Commerce Center is running all their
18	lifts, so it's 50 plus vehicles, with
19	hydrogen fuel cells
20	MR. BRUDERLY: Forklifts; right?
21	COUNCILMAN BOWMAN: forklifts that
22	people stand and go up about 40 feet in the
23	air to get products off shelves, those are
24	all hydrogen fuel cells, they have two
25	fuelling stations there and it's amazing to

1	see. So your vision is starting to come
2	true. Thank you.
3	MR. BRUDERLY: Well, thank you, sir.
4	It's not just my vision. It's been the
5	vision of a whole lot of people.
6	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
7	Mr. Bowman.
8	Thank you, Mr. Bruderly, for being here.
9	MR. BRUDERLY: Thank you, sir.
10	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Our next speaker
11	is Dwight Brisbane. Not here?
12	I have another card submitted, Mr. Gray.
13	MR. GRAY: Hello. My name is Chap Gray.
14	I'll be brief.
15	Just wanted to let you-all know, you-all
16	had a question a few weeks back about what
17	the cost of converting a home to solar
18	energy would be. For the past several
19	weeks, I've been putting together some
20	numbers of my own, and I would be happy to
21	share them with you-all at you-all's
22	convenience, just some numbers on a
23	spreadsheet showing what the cost of solar
24	panels and a Tesla power wall, which is a
25	battery storage system, would be for a

1	house. Actually, I put it together for my
2	house and two others as a comparison.
3	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: So each house is
4	a different square footage or something?
5	MR. GRAY: There are similarities and
6	differences among the three houses.
7	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Are those no
8	longer
9	MR. GRAY: I have the addresses and
10	similarities and differences.
11	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Do you have a
12	copy of that with you?
13	MR. GRAY: I have a flash drive.
14	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Can you leave
15	that with us?
16	MR. BRISBANE: I can't leave it with you
17	because it has a lot of other stuff on it,
18	but I'd be happy to let you have the file.
19	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Can you email the
20	document? Why don't you email it to I
21	was going to say my ECA, but she's not here.
22	Staci Lopez will get with you and give you
23	her email address and she'll take it and
24	then distribute it to all the Council
25	persons. Thank you for doing that.

1	You have a question, though.
2	Mr. Bowman Mr. Becton.
3	COUNCILMAN BOWMAN: It's the hair.
4	COUNCILMAN BECTON: I just thought it
5	was the last name started with a B.
6	Thank you.
7	Through the Chair, so I had asked the
8	question to kind of get an idea of what a
9	typical solar panel residential installation
10	looks like. And that's what you're
11	offering; correct?
12	MR. GRAY: Yes.
13	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Okay. Can you give
14	me an idea of the energy that is generated
15	from that typical installation, like what
16	percent of the household actually can be
17	generated from that installation?
18	MR. GRAY: Well, it's easier if you look
19	at the presentation I put together. I put
20	it together using an app called Google
21	Project Sunroof, which appears to me I
22	didn't even know about it up until about
23	three weeks ago. It appears to me they took
24	Google Earth and they just use, like, a
25	computer model of the roof of your house to

1	determine, you know, what it looks like, a
2	typical solar installation would be.
3	The numbers I came up with, without
4	getting too in depth, you would wind up with
5	poor quality service for a lot of money.
6	COUNCILMAN BECTON: You said poor
7	quality?
8	MR. GRAY: Yes.
9	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Because I was making
10	a statement, when I look at people's houses,
11	I usually see, four, five panels on a
12	rooftop, it appears, from residential. I
13	guess I was just kind of curious what is the
14	expectation from a typical is it just
15	trying to generate 25 percent of their
16	power, 50 percent? I'm sure a typical home,
17	refrigerator, washer and dryer, you know,
18	those types of things have a, you know, I
19	keep using the word average, typical,
20	however you want to quantify it, and what
21	might be the power generation from those
22	solar panels to a typical household. No
23	guess from what you looked at, like 50
24	percent, 25 percent? I mean, I'm not
25	holding you to anything here, but

T	MR. GRAY: WITHOUT actually tweaking the
2	Google Project Sunroof numbers, just going
3	with their numbers alone, my house and one
4	of my coworker's houses, we came up short
5	quite a bit as far as the production
6	compared to our average daily consumption
7	over the last year. My father-in-law, whose
8	house is about half the square footage of
9	mine, he was the closest. He was I'm
10	going to guess probably about 90, 98
11	percent, 95 percent.
12	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Of 100 percent
13	demand?
14	MR. GRAY: Yes. Now, I obviously work
15	for JEA. So I've got a feel for, you know,
16	what, just, for example, our Brandy Branch
17	solar does. And I can tell you, I can
18	actually show you on especially, like,
19	for the last couple of weeks, the output
20	from that plant, which would be the output
21	of just about any solar panel installation
22	is very sporadic.
23	COUNCILMAN BECTON: Well it's been
24	raining; right?
25	MR. GRAY: Well, even on sunny days.

1	Saying Florida is the sunshine state is a
2	little bit of a misnomer. The output of
3	that plant is very sporadic.
4	COUNCILMAN BECTON: I always heard that
5	Florida is not as efficient with solar
6	panels as maybe out west and so forth,
7	humidity and those types of things; is that
8	accurate?
9	MR. GRAY: That statement you just made
10	is accurate.
11	COUNCILMAN BECTON: All right. Thank
12	you, Mr. Chair.
13	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Thank you,
14	Mr. Becton.
15	Thank you, Mr. Gray. Thank you for
16	being here.
17	All right. Any announcements? I'll
18	make an announcement. We have no meeting
19	next week.
20	As you probably know, Mr. Bowman sent
21	out a letter yesterday, this Committee was
22	not listed as one that was going to be
23	continuing. So I'm assuming we will sunset
24	on June 30th. If we are not complete, we'll
25	have to meet as a noticed Council Member

1 meeting on the same exact topic.

2.2

But speaking of completion, I would like to get a sense from the Committee where you want to go. My idea was to try to start formulating some sort of report that we could leave for future Council generations.

And I think we have enough information at this point, pending the few outstanding items that Mr. Pope and Ms. Kilgo are going to return to us, to write what I would call the first half of the report, which is basically a summary of our factfinding process. But I'm open to suggestions or guidance on how the rest of the Committee wants to proceed.

Once that's complete, if you want to venture into any other forward thinking or recommendations with regard to value, sale, no sale, if sale, this, this, this, I think we need to have a discussion and find out whether we want to address any of those points.

But Mr. Clements has been taking superb notes. We certainly have a very substantial recommendation that's been provided by some

1	of our contributors to this effort. So I
2	think we could publish a pretty
3	comprehensive report backed up with some
4	pretty amazing appendix inclusions. And I'm
5	going to ask Mr. Clements, he probably
6	regrets having attended today, if you will
7	start working on a draft of that report for
8	us to take a look at at some meeting down
9	the road.
10	Again, if we're done by June 30th,
11	great. If we're not, we'll have to meet at
12	a noticed meeting. We can keep the same
13	schedule or go to every other week or
14	something like that so that we can plan
15	ahead for it.
16	Anybody else want to contribute to our
17	direction going forward? Nobody? So
18	everybody kind of agrees with that? All
19	right. Fair enough. If there is nothing
20	else to come Mr. Dennis, I'm sorry.
21	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: Through the Chair to
22	the Committee, I know if you go to research,
23	there is a lot of reports. I know for
24	District 9 there's a lot of reports, there's
25	a lot of reports.

1	And so I hope that, if we're not
2	finished by June 30th, that this Committee
3	will take some action legislatively to
4	basically submit the work of this Committee.
5	I don't know what that is. I don't know if
6	it's been done in the past before, but I
7	think just submitting the report, just
8	putting it in the atmosphere, I think it
9	should be followed up or you know,
10	whether it's a resolution or subordinate,
11	but I think there should be some legislative
12	action besides just submitting a report, so.
13	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Can you elaborate
14	on what the action should be?
15	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: I don't know. I'm
16	just putting it out there, but I'll probably
17	make
18	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: Resolution
19	honoring and commending the Committee for
20	outstanding if you'll introduce that,
21	I'll cosponsor.
22	COUNCILMAN DENNIS: All right. Sounds
23	good.
24	CHAIRMAN CRESCIMBENI: All right. I
25	think the report will be beloful. There are

1	a number of us that won't be here after
2	June 30th of 2019, so I expect, since this
3	topic has come up a few times in the past 11
4	years, it's probably going to come up again.
5	I don't think it's going to be necessary
6	for if it comes up in a short time frame.
7	If it comes up in the next four, five years,
8	I think our report will provide excellent
9	base, foundation, whatever you want to call
10	it, so all this ground doesn't have to be
11	revisited and re-plowed to generate I've
12	been on City Council, this is my 18th year,
13	resident of Jacksonville for more than 50
14	years. I've learned a lot about JEA in this
15	process that I got into the weeds,
16	details about JEA that I really wasn't
17	completely familiar with, even serving as a
18	Council Member, listening to the budget
19	presentations, you know, different pieces of
20	legislation came along. So I think that is
21	going to be a very valuable report going
22	forward for anybody that might have
23	questions like we've had.
24	All right. Anything else?
25	Mr. Becton, are you just waving or do

1	you want to say something?
2	All right. So we'll reconvene at 3:30,
3	two weeks, is that right, two weeks from
4	today. That date is June 7th and I hope
5	everyone has a safe and pleasurable Memorial
6	Day. Enjoy the jazz festival, take an
7	umbrella. This meeting is adjourned.
8	(Meeting adjourned at 4:59 p.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 147, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 1st day of June, 2018.
12	
13	5 /2
14	Churte
15	Amanda E. Robinson, Registered Professional Reporter
16	1.0 y 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	